

0

# MAGNETIC DOMAINS AND DOMAIN WALLS

O.CHUBYKALO-FESENKO

INSTITUTO DE CIENCIA DE MATERIALES DE MADRID,

CSIC, SPAIN







# SCALES IN MAGNETISM



 $\bigcirc$ 









## **Classical micromagnetic sumulations:**

W.F.Brown "Micromagnetics" 1963

Classical approximation of continuous magnetic media Consists of the minimization of total magnetic energy:

$$E_{ext} = -\mu_0 \int_{V} \vec{H}_{ext}(\vec{r}) \vec{M}(\vec{r}) dV \qquad \text{External field}$$

$$E_{ani} = -\int_{V} K_{ani}(\vec{r}) [\vec{m}(\vec{r}) \vec{e}(\vec{r})]^2 dV \qquad \text{Uniaxial Anisotre}$$

$$E_{ex} = \int_{V} A_{ex}(\vec{r}) \left[ (\nabla m_x)^2 + (\nabla m_y)^2 + (\nabla m_z)^2 \right] dV \qquad \text{Exchange}$$

 $E_{magn} = -\frac{\mu_0}{2} \int_V \vec{M}(\vec{r}) \vec{H}_{magn}(\vec{r}) dV \qquad \text{Magnetostatic}$  $E_{DMI} = D \int_V \left[ m_z \left( \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{m} \right) - \left( \vec{m} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \right) m_z \right] dV \qquad \text{DMI}$ 

 $\vec{H}_{eff} = -\frac{\partial E_{tot}}{\partial \vec{M}}$  Total effective field

Magnetostatic energy (Maxwell equations in the static limit):

 $\vec{H}_{magn} = -grad \Phi_{magn}$ 

 $\Delta \Phi_{magn} = \begin{cases} 4\pi \nabla \vec{M} & inside \\ 0 & outside \end{cases}$ 

Volume charges

#### Boundary conditions:

ору

 $\theta / \vec{M}$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} \Phi_{magn}^{outside} & \Big|_{\Sigma} = \Phi_{magn}^{inside} \Big|_{\Sigma} \\ \left( \frac{\partial \Phi_{magn}^{inside}}{\partial \vec{n}} - \frac{\partial \Phi_{magn}^{outside}}{\partial \vec{n}} \right)_{\Sigma} = 4\pi \vec{M} \vec{n} \end{array}$$

Surface charges

 $\frac{\partial E_{tot}}{\partial \theta} = 0 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad MH_{eff} \sin \theta = 0 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \left[ \vec{M} \times \vec{H}_{eff} \right] = 0 \quad \text{Brown's equilibrium condition}$ 

# FORMAL SOLUTION OF THE MAGNETOSTATIC PROBLEM

Magnetostatic energy (Maxwell equations in the static limit):

 $\vec{H}_d = -grad \Phi_d$ 

 $\Delta \Phi_d = \begin{cases} 4\pi \nabla \vec{M} & inside \\ 0 & outside \end{cases}$  Volume charges

$$\vec{H}_{d}(\vec{r}) = -\operatorname{grad} \Phi_{d}(\vec{r}) \quad \text{where}$$

$$\Phi_{d}(\vec{r}) = \frac{M_{s}}{4\pi} \left[ \int \frac{-\operatorname{div} \vec{m}(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \, \mathrm{dV}' + \int \frac{\vec{m}(\vec{r}') \cdot \vec{n}(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \, \mathrm{dS}' \right]$$

**Boundary conditions:** 

$$\begin{array}{l} \Phi_{d}^{outside} & \Big|_{\Sigma} = \Phi_{d}^{inside} \Big|_{\Sigma} \\ \left( \frac{\partial \Phi_{d}^{inside}}{\partial \vec{n}} - \frac{\partial \Phi_{d}^{outside}}{\partial \vec{n}} \right)_{\Sigma} = 4\pi \vec{M} \vec{n} \end{array} \quad \text{Surface charges} \end{array}$$

# THE CONCEPT OF SHAPE SHAPE ANISOTROPY





Shape anisotropy acts similar to magnetocrystalline anisotropy

But the concept is valid for saturated objects only





**BROWN'S PARADOX** 

Brown's paradox In most systems  $H_c \prec \frac{2K}{\mu_0 M_s}$ 

curling

domain proc.

For nanomagnets: Non-uniform reversal modes

For larger systems: Nucleation (on defects and thermal nucleation)

Pinning an depinning of structures.



# FORMAL SOLUTION





# SATURATED CUBE







•The magnetostatic fields are stronger at the corners.

## M-MAG STANDARD PROBLEM (FROM OOMMF WEBPAGE)





See also M.A.Schabes abd H.N.Bertram "Magnetisation processes in ferromagnetic cubes", J.Appl. Phys. 64 (1988) 1347.

# POLE AVOIDANCE PRINCIPLE

The minimization of the magnetostatic energy leads to the "avoidance" of surface charges = Magnetisation parallel to surfaces









## The concept of **domain**:



Postulated by Pierre Weiss in 1907 to explain why ferromagnetic bodies can appear non-magnetic.





Systems with PMA: stripe domains



# DOMAINS

Soft magnetic material: finemet (FeSiB)





A huge number if domain patterns is very surprising!



# DOMAIN THEORY CH. KITEL

"Domain structure has its origin in the possibility of Lowering the energy of a system by going from a saturated configuration such as (a) with high magnetic energy to a domain configuration, such as (c) or (e), with a lower energy."

Ch.Kitel Rev. Modern Phys 21 (1949)











$$\mathcal{E} = \int dx \left[ A \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right)^2 + K_u \sin^2 \theta \right]$$

 $\theta(x) = 2 \tan^{-1} \left[ \exp\left( x/\Delta \right) \right]$ 

$$\overrightarrow{m} = (0, \sin\theta, \cos\theta)$$

 $\Delta = \sqrt{A/K_u}$ 

Domain wall width

 $\Delta=2$  nm (very hard materials) ---- more than 1000 nm (very soft materials)

4 nm (FePt), 12 nm (hcp Co)  

$$E_{DW} = 4\sqrt{AK_u}$$
 Domain wall energy (density  
per area)  $E_{DW} = 4\sqrt{AK_u} - \pi D$  (with DMI)  
Different in cubic materials: 90 degrees walls (100) e.a.

and depends on the e.a. orientations For (111) e.a. we can have 71 and 109 degrees domain walls



# NEEL AND BLOCH DOMAIN WALLS



E

0

50

100

150

t (nm)

200

250

300

Colr samples

 $\mathbf{m} = (\sin\theta\cos\phi, \sin\theta\sin\phi, \cos\theta)$ 

DW angle

 $\phi = \pi/2$   $m_x = 0$  Bloch  $\phi = 0$   $m_y = 0$  Neel

 $\theta(x) = 2 \tan^{-1} \left[ \exp \left( x / \Delta \right) \right]$ 

The magnetostatic energies are different

✓ Neel DW creates volume charges

✓ Bloch DW creates surface charges

Neel domain wall is stable in ultrathin films

# DOMAIN WALL DIAGRAM IN SYSTEMS WITH PERPENDICULAR ANISOTROPY









$$2\pi R^2 \sqrt{AK} = \frac{1}{2} V N M_s^2$$
$$R_{sd} = 9\sqrt{AK} / \mu_0 M_s^2$$

Criterium for a single domain particle

For spherical nanoparticles





## **Important Length scales:**

Domain wall width

$$\delta_{\rm o} = (A/K_1)^{1/2}$$

Exchange correlation length

 $l_o = (A/\mu_o M_s^2)^{1/2}$ 

Critical nanoparticle diameter to form domains

 $R_{sd} = 9\sqrt{AK}/\mu_0 M_s^2$ 





100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100	$M_s$	A	$K_1$	$\delta_w$	$\gamma_w$	κ	$l_{ex}$
	$\rm MA~m^{-1}$	$pJ m^{-1}$	$kJ m^{-3}$	nm	$mJ m^{-2}$		nm
Ni <sub>80</sub> Fe <sub>20</sub>	0.84	10	0.15	2000	0.01	Q.01	3.4
Fe	1.71	8	50	40	2.6	0.12	1.5
Co	1.44	10	530	14	9.3	0.46	1.9
$Nd_2Fe_{14}B$	1.28	8	4900	3.9	25	1.54	2.0



Py nanowires: Dw width decreases with stripe width

Backes D et al Appl. Phys. Lett. 91 (2007)



✓ Magneto-optical Kerr effect



✓ Transmission electron microscopy
 (holography)



## ✓ Magnetic force microscopy



✓ X-ray microscopy (XMCD)



# COERCIVITY TYPE MECHANISMS

## Rotation, nucleation, DW propagation, pinning, depinning





# NUCLEATION AND DOMAIN WALL PROPAGATION THEORIES

### **Nucleation mechanism**

The concept of nucleation volume

$$\begin{split} E(r) &= (2\pi rd)\sigma - (\pi r^2 d)(2\mu_0 M_s H_0) \\ & \text{Wall} & \text{Zeeman energy} \\ \sigma &= 4\sqrt{AK_u} \end{split}$$



## DW nucleation + propagation

 $H_c(\theta_H) = \frac{H_c(0)}{\cos(\theta)}$ 

Angular dependence of coercivity DW energy > thermal energy





# SIMPLEST CASE (ULTRA-THIN FILMS)



Energy per unit volume

 $E_{DW} = 4\sqrt{AK}L/w$  $E_{Mag} = 1.7(\frac{dw}{L^2})\mu_0 M_s^2$ 

 $w \approx L \left(\frac{L\sigma_{DW}}{\mu_0 M_c^2 d}\right)^{1/2}$ 

Kittel structure for in-plane magnetisation

(more correct magnetostatic, summing surface charges

 $w \approx \frac{\pi^3 L \sigma_{DW}}{4\mu_0 M_s^2 d}$ 

# LANDAU MODEL FOR CLOSURE DOMAINS



For uniaxial anisotropy Including only 180-degree walls

The total energy= energy of domain walls –energy of closure domains Can be of

magnetostrictive

The wall energy (per unit area)

 $E_{DW} = 4\sqrt{AK}L/D$  origin

FeB nanoelements Magnet-optic image) R.Alvarez-Sanchez Magnetochemistry 2020, 6(4), 50

Anisotropy energy of closures  $E_a = KD/2$ 

Minimizing the total energy with respect to D gives

$$w = 2 \left[ \frac{2d}{A/K} \right]^{1/2}$$

equiibrium domain width















# DOMAINS AND STRUCTURE

Domains are strongly related to nanostructure (grains, boundaries, dislocations etc.)



Magnetite, TEM A-Lindquist et al Earth, Planets and Space (2019) 71:5

# DOMAINS IN HARD MAGNETIC MATERIALS



Suzuki et al Acta Materialia 106 (2016) 155

NdFeB, XMCD



# DOMAIN BRANCHING

NdFeB





(especially in hard materials, depends on the mis-orientation of grains with respect to the surface

# FORMATION OF SKYRMIONS (BUBBLES) FROM LABYRINTH DOMAINS



Typical for large anisotropy magnetic films

TbCo, TEM images, J.Zhang et al APL, 116 (2020)

# SOFT MATERIALS: RANDOM ANISOTROPY MODEL

Exchange correlation length is much larger than the grain size

Mostly demagnetize by domain wall propagation

$$\langle K \rangle = \frac{K}{\sqrt{N}}, \quad N = \frac{L^3_{ex}}{D^3}$$

Number of grains within exchange correlation length



 $< K > \propto K_1 D^6$ 

Nucleation model



hard axis



TEM image: NiFe L.Heyderman et al JMMM



e.g. zero-magnetostrictive alloys





Induces anisotropies can be produced by annealing under field or stress

W.Wu et al Appl. Surf.Sci. 346, (2015) 567

FeCoAlON films



e.g. amorphous alloys

Magnetic anisotropies are due to internal long-range (quenching) stresses produced by preparation techniques



Magnetostriction constant stress volume

Typical domains have laminar (10-100  $\mu$ m) or zig-zag structures

N.llin et al Solid State Phen 312, 275 (2020) FeCuNbSiB amorphous robbons.











# **BARKHAUSEN EFFECT**





# DOMAIN WALLS





## **VORTEX DOMAIN WALLS**

(e)







# CHIRAL DOMAIN WALLS (SYSTEMS WITH DMI)

DMI favors only one chirality for Neel domain walls







Competition Ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic interactions



Spin-polarised STM, monolayer of Mn on W surface Group of Wlesendanger





# ATOMICALLY SHARP DOMAIN WALLS IN ANTIFERROMAGNETS





differential phase-contrast (DPC)–STEM image of CuMnAs Krizek et al., Sci. Adv. 8, eabn3535 (2022)





- MAGNETISATION STATES DEPEND STRONGLY ON THE COMPETITION OF ENERGIES AND SYSTEM SIZE
- MAGNETIC DOMAINS ARE RESULTS OF MAGNETOSTATIC ENERGY MINIMIZATION, DOMAIN TYPE (STRIPE, LABYRINTH ETC.) AND SIZE IS DEPENDS ON THE THIN FILMS THICKNESS AND Q-FACTOR
- DOMAIN ARE SEPARATED BY DOMAIN WALLS: NEEL (THIS FILMS) AND BLOCH (THICKER FILMS)
- DMI SELECTS DOMAIN WALL CHIRALITY
- MORE COMPLEX DOMAIN WALLS (VORTEX, BLOCH POINT ETC.)







# $$\begin{split} \mathbf{Domain \ wall \ motion} \\ \dot{\mathbf{m}} &= -\gamma \ \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} - \alpha \mathbf{m} \times \dot{\mathbf{m}} \\ &= \int [A(\nabla \mathbf{m})^2 - Km_z^2 + \underbrace{K_d m_y^2}_{K_d = \frac{\mu_0}{2} M_s^2} - \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\text{ext}}] d^3x \quad \mathbf{p} = 0 \rightarrow \text{stray-field-free wall} \\ \mathbf{m} &= -\gamma(\sin\theta\cos\phi, \sin\theta\sin\phi, \cos\theta) \\ &\dot{\theta} - \alpha\dot{\phi}\sin\theta = \frac{2\gamma}{M_s} [-\frac{A}{\sin\theta} \nabla \cdot (\sin^2\theta\nabla\phi) + \frac{K_d}{2}\sin\theta\sin2\phi] \\ &\dot{\phi}\sin\theta + \alpha\dot{\theta} = \frac{2\gamma}{M_s} \left\{ A \left[ \nabla^2\theta - \frac{1}{2}\sin2\theta(\nabla\phi)^2 \right] - \frac{K + K_d \sin^2\phi}{2}\sin2\theta \right\} + \gamma H \sin\theta \end{split}$$