



The European School on Magnetism 2022

UNIVERSITE DE LA GRANDE REGION UNIVERSITAT DER GROSSREGION

MAGNETISATION CONFIGURATIONS

Claire Donnelly European School of Magnetism 2022 Saarbruecken, 14th September 2022



BOOKS FOR FURTHER READING:

OXFORD MASTER SERIESPYNICONDENSED MATTER PHYSICS









J. M. D. COEY



WHAT DO WE MEAN?

MAGNETISATION CONFIGURATIONS





WHAT DO WE MEAN?

MAGNETISATION CONFIGURATIONS



What determines the magnetic configuration?



THE CONCEPT OF DOMAINS





Pierre Weiss, 1907

Magnetic materials appear "non-magnetic"

 \rightarrow presence of magnetic domains



FIRST OBSERVED WITH THE BITTER METHOD

Put ferrofluid on top of a magnet



R. Patton, K. Strnat (1963)

Lemos et al., Materials Science Forum Vol. 802 (2014)



OUR QUESTIONS FOR TODAY:

Why do domains form?



What drives different configurations?



What about topology?



How can we observe these?





MAGNETIC CONFIG: EXCHANGE ENERGY



• Costs energy to rotate neighbouring spins:



Two neighbouring spins have exchange energy: $-2JS_1 \cdot S_2 = -2JS^2 \cos \theta$

 \rightarrow Prefer to align!

Can write the exchange energy in terms of **m**: $E_{EX} = A(\nabla m)^2$

A = exchange constant



ANISOTROPY ENERGY



• Spins prefer to align, but in which direction?

"low symmetry" = higher anisotropy



ANISOTROPY ENERGY



- Spins prefer to align, but in which direction?
- \rightarrow Magnetic Anisotropy in a crystal







WHY DO DOMAINS FORM?







Domains minimise *magnetostatic energy:*

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR CHEMICAL PHYSICS OF SOLIDS | CLAIRE DONNELLY



→ MAGNETOSTATIC ENERGY





Domains minimise

magnetostatic energy:

$$E_{MS} = -\frac{\mu_0}{2}M \cdot H_d$$

Can be thought of as two different charges:

"Volume charges"	"Surface charges"

$$\rho = -\mu_0 \nabla \cdot M$$

 $\sigma = \mu_0 \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\hat{n}}$







"THIN FILM"

Thin films with *no anisotropy*:





CHIRALITY: DZYALOSHINSKII-MORIYA INTERACTION



Breaking mirror symmetry

Can chirality exist in 2D?

Can have a strong impact on behaviour:





CHIRALITY: DZYALOSHINSKII-MORIYA INTERACTION



Breaking mirror symmetry

Can chirality exist in 2D?

How does this work for magnetism?

 \rightarrow Breaking of inversion symmetry



 $= \mathbf{D}_{ij} \cdot (\mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S}_j).$ H_{i} DMI vector



CHIRALITY: DMI

$$H_{i,j}^{(\mathrm{DM})} = \mathbf{D}_{ij} \cdot (\mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S}_j).$$

Depending on DMI vector:

 \rightarrow Preferential rotation of spins



Can lead to helical/ conical state:



Or stabilisation of skyrmions! (lecture tomorrow)



Birch et al. Nat. Comm. 11, 1726 (2020)



DOMAIN STRUCTURE:





MICROMAGNETIC DESCRIPTION

Describe energy of the system:

 $\varepsilon_{TOT} = \varepsilon_{EXCH} + \varepsilon_{ZEE} + \varepsilon_{MS} + \varepsilon_{ANI} + \varepsilon_{DMI}$

- \rightarrow Can be combined with macro-spin model
- \rightarrow And/or numerical simulations
- \rightarrow Continuum description





OUR QUESTIONS FOR TODAY:

Why do domains form?



What drives different configurations?



What about topology?



How can we observe these?



MAGNETISATION CONFIGURATIONS

Questions:

What drives different configurations?

\rightarrow What lengthscales?

 \rightarrow How big is a domain?



\rightarrow What types of structures can we expect?



WHAT DETERMINES THE SIZE OF THE DOMAINS?

(consider with anisotropy)



Energy of the domains vs energy of domain walls



AS WE INTRODUCE DOMAINS....

WE NEED DOMAIN WALLS



Size of domains: depends on energy of the domain wall

Question:

Which wall has higher magnetostatic energy?



AS WE INTRODUCE DOMAINS....

WE NEED DOMAIN WALLS





"Surface charges"



"Volume charges"



 $\rho = -\mu_0 \nabla \cdot M$



SIZE OF DOMAIN WALL: ENERGY BALANCE?

Remember: exchange energy:

Two neighbouring spins have exchange energy: $-2JS_1 \cdot S_2 = -2JS^2 \cos \theta$





Each change in angle:

$$\delta\theta = \frac{\pi}{N}$$
$$\Rightarrow \Delta E \sim 2JS^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{N}\right)^2$$

Energy cost of wall: $\Delta E_{EX} \sim 2JS^2 \frac{\pi^2}{N}$

We split the rotation over N spins



SIZES OF BLOCH WALLS

Not only Exchange... remember anisotropy:



LENGTHSCALES

How quickly can the magnetisation rotate? \rightarrow exchange length

In crystalline materials:

Magnetocrystalline exchange length:

 $l_{ex} = \sqrt{A/K_u}$

Competition between exchange and anisotropy

Competition between exchange and magnetostatics

 $l_{MS} = \sqrt{A/K_d} \rightarrow l_{MS} = \sqrt{2A/\mu_0 M_S^2}$

In softer materials

Magnetostatic exchange length:



LENGTHSCALES



How quickly can the magnetisation rotate? \rightarrow exchange length

Magnetocrystalline exchange length:

$$l_{ex} = \sqrt{A/K_u}$$

Magnetostatic exchange length:

$$l_{MS} = \sqrt{2A/\mu_0 M_S^2}$$

Material	A (erg/cm)	M_s (emu/cm ³)	$4\pi M_s (kG)^a$	$l_{ex} = \frac{\sqrt{A}}{M_s \sqrt{2\pi}} (\text{cm})^{a}$	
Fe	10-6	1700	~21.4	~2.3×10 ⁻⁷	
Magnetite	10-6	500	~6.3	~8.0×10 ⁻⁷	
Soft magnetic material	10 ⁻⁶	10 ³	~12.6	~3.99×10 ⁻⁷	
Со	1.8×10 ⁻⁶	1400	~17.6	~3.8×10 ⁻⁷	
Permalloy	1.3×10 ⁻⁶	800	~10.1	~5.7×10 ⁻⁷	~2-10 nm
Ferromagnetic material	10-6	370	~4.6	~10.8×10 ⁻⁷	
Ni	10 ⁻⁶	482	~6.1	~8.3×10 ⁻⁷	
Soft magnetic material	10 ⁻⁶	550	~6.9	~7.3×10 ⁻⁷	
Permalloy	10 ⁻⁶	800	~10.1	~4.99×10 ⁻⁷	

Abo et al., IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON MAGNETICS, VOL. 49, NO. 8, AUGUST 2013



SIZE OF DOMAINS:

We now have the size of our domain walls, but what about the domains?

Balance energy of domain walls vs energy of domain What happens as we increase the film thickness?





SIZE OF DOMAINS:

Balance energy of domain walls vs energy of domain

Energy of domains:

Magnetostatic energy:

(per unit area)

 $\varepsilon_{MS} = \frac{\mu_0 M_S^2}{2} l$

Lowered if split into domains: $\varepsilon_{MS} = 1.08e5 M_S^2 d$

29



Energy of domain wall: (per unit area)

$$\varepsilon_W = \frac{E_W l}{d}$$





SIZE OF DOMAINS





De Abril et al., Journal of Applied Physics 100, 063904 (2006)



DIFFERENT TYPES OF DOMAIN WALLS?



Surface charges

Stable for thick films

Volume charges

Stable for thin films



DIFFERENT TYPES OF DOMAIN WALLS?

Bloch walls:

Neel walls:





Volume charges

Stable for thick films

Stable for thin films

Combination of both:









DOMAIN WALLS: BETWEEN THICK AND THIN?

Combination of both:



"Cross-tie wall"

Contains vortices and antivortices







DIFFERENT SIZES OF MAGNETS





SHAPE ANISOTROPY





SHAPE ANISOTROPY





SHAPE ANISOTROPY





VORTEX:





OUR QUESTIONS FOR TODAY:

Why do domains form?



What drives different configurations?



What about topology?



How can we observe these?





Key: Smoothly deform!







For magnetism?

→ assume continuous vector field

Let's start with 2D! Winding number:





Are these topologically equivalent?



Key: Smoothly deform!











For magnetism? → assume continuous vector field

Let's start with 2D! Winding number:



 $\checkmark \leftarrow \land \uparrow \checkmark \rightarrow \land$

Wind clockwise \rightarrow W=+1

 $\nearrow \rightarrow \searrow \downarrow \checkmark \leftarrow \checkmark$

Wind clockwise \rightarrow W=+1

Are these topologically equivalent?



Key: Smoothly deform!



Meaning:







For magnetism?

→ assume continuous vector field

Let's start with 2D! Winding number:







Key: Smoothly deform!



Meaning:







For magnetism? → assume continuous vector field

Let's start with 2D! Winding number:



 $\downarrow \checkmark \leftarrow \land \uparrow \checkmark \rightarrow \land$

Wind clockwise \rightarrow W=+1

 $\diagdown \leftarrow \checkmark \downarrow \searrow \rightarrow \checkmark$

≠

Wind anti-clockwise \rightarrow W=-1









3D spins in 2D

Skyrmion number



Skyrmion number:

$$n=rac{1}{4\pi}\int {f M}\cdot\left(rac{\partial {f M}}{\partial x} imes rac{\partial {f M}}{\partial y}
ight)dxdy$$

Calculates over a surface

For a vortex:

$$n = \frac{wp}{2}$$

w – winding number
p – polarisation
Tretiakov PRB 75, 012408 (2007)



TOPOLOGY OF VORTICES IN 3D



Change of polarisation = change in skyrmion

Bloch point!



- Topological defect in 3D
- Cannot be described by micromagnetics alone!

III. THE MULTISCALE PROBLEM

It appears that all difficulties connected with Bloch points stem from micromagnetic theory. If an atomistic Heisenberg model is used, nothing prevents neighboring atomistic magnetic moments from being strongly misaligned.

Andreas et al., Phys. Rev. B 89, 134403 (2014)







OUR QUESTIONS FOR TODAY:

Why do domains form?



What drives different configurations?











OBSERVING MAGNETIC DOMAINS



Key consideration: spatial resolution!



MAGNETIC MICROSCOPY: MAGNETO-OPTICS





MAGNETIC MICROSCOPY: MAGNETO-OPTICS

In transmission: Faraday effect

Linear polarised light incident on magnetic material:



- \rightarrow *Rotation* of the linear polarisation
- \rightarrow Ellipticity of the light

Measure this rotation \rightarrow probe the magnetisation // k



Spatial resolution:

limited by wavelength of light ~hundreds of nanometres

McCord J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 48 (2015) 333001

In reflection: Kerr effect



MOKE MICROSCOPY



MOKE signal depends on **k**

Soldatov & Schaefer, Review of Scientific Instruments 88, 073701 (2017)



Synchrotron light sources:



Swiss Light Source, PSI



Balerna, Mobilio Intro. Synch. Rad



X-rays: X-ray magnetic circular dichroism Circular polarised light: angular momentum ±ħ

This time, resonant!

- Element specific
- Can penetrate thicker samples









Advantage of X-rays: highly penetrating, so can look through "thick" samples

Also disadvantage: small refractive index means hard to create optics!

Fresnel Zone Plate:



Nanofabrication determines spatial resolution





Baumgartner et al., Nat. Nano. 12, 980 (2017)



Alternative ways to obtain high resolution:



fi(r) GdFe Multilayer Unduktor Unduktor

Coherent diffractive imaging

Tripathi et al., PNAS 108, 33 (2011)



Spatial resolution: not limited by wavelength! But by signal/ focusing optics, depending on technique

~ 20 nm routinely achievable



3D MAGNETIC IMAGING

Magnetic tomography



Donnelly et al., NJP (2018)

Review on 3D magnetic imaging: Donnelly & Scagnoli, J. Phys. D. **32**, 213001 (2020)

Cross-tie: vortices & antivortices



Closure domains:



Bloch point singularities



Donnelly et al., Nature 547 328 (2017)



Hierro Rodriguez et al., Nat. Comm. 11 6382 (2020)

OBSERVING MAGNETIC DOMAINS

M probes

Magneto-optics, spin-polarised STM, spinpolarised SEM

$$B = \mu_0(M + H)$$

Optical regime X-rays

B probes

Key consideration: spatial resolution!





MAGNETIC MICROSCOPY: ELECTRON IMAGING

Lorentz microscopy:

Transmission electron microscopy:

Electrons deflected by Lorentz force:

 $\boldsymbol{F}_{Lorentz} = q(\boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B})$



Image plane intensity

(a) (b)

Transevese domain wall



Vortex domain wall

Togawa et al., Proc. SPIE 7036, Spintronics, 703617 (2008)



MAGNETIC MICROSCOPY: ELECTRON IMAGING

Electron holography:

Aharonov–Bohm effect:

Electrically charged particle affected by an electromagnetic potential, which leads to a change in phase of the wavefunction of the particle.

→ If you can reconstruct the phase of an electron, you can directly reconstruct the magnetic vector potential → in-plane components of magnetic field B



Togawa et al., Proc. SPIE 7036, Spintronics, 703617 (2008)

Spatial resolution: single digit nm

Wavelength of an electron ~ pm. Not the limiting factor!



MAGNETIC MICROSCOPY: SCANNING PROBE TECHNIQUES

MAGNETIC FORCE MICROSCOPY (MFM)

Scan an oscillating cantilever with magnetic tip over surface of a sample

First pass: get topography

(van der Waals)



Second pass lifted higher:

get long-range magnetic

MFM



Tip acts as a dipole moving in a gradient of magnetic field:

Tip sample interactions change the cantilever oscillation, observed as a phase shift in the oscillation

Spatial resolution: determined by tip. Roughly 50 nm (down to ~10 nm)

Measure surface charges

OBSERVING MAGNETIC DOMAINS

M probes

Magneto-optics, spin-polarised STM, spinpolarised SEM

$$B = \mu_0(M + H)$$

Optical regime X-rays

Neutrons, electrons, scanning probe, Bitter method... Electrons MFM

B probes

Key consideration: spatial resolution!

61







MAGNETIC MICROSCOPY COMPARISON

