Magnetic anisotropies

Ζ Ζ (b) (a) 0.4 - 0.5 1.2 0.2 - 0.4 0.2 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 - 0.8 -0.2 0.6 0.4 - 0.1 Х 1.5 V - 0.2 $-0.2\underline{0}_{0.1\underline{5}_{0.1}\underline{0}_{0.05},0.00},0.05_{0.10},0.15_{0.20},0.02^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0},0.00^{-1.0}$ -1.5 -1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 -1.5 0.5 0.0 Х -0.5 Ζ (d) (c) - 0.30 0.2 - 0.30 07 - 0.25 0.1 0.1 - 0.25 - 0.20 0.0 - 0.20 0.0 -0.1 - 0.15 - 0.15 -0.1 - 0.10 - 0.10 -0.3 Y 0.3 0.2 0.1 -0.1 -0.2 -0.3 - 0.05 -0.2 - 0.05 X Х - 0.00 -0.2^{0.1}^{0.1}^{0.2} -0.3_0.2_0.1_0.0_0.1_0.2_0.3 0.00 -0.2 -0.1 0.0 0.1 0.2

Scientific Visualization, 2020, volume 12, number 3, pages 26 - 37, <u>DOI:</u> <u>10.26583/sv.12.3.03</u>





S. DE BRION sept 2021

Magnetic anisotropies

Orientation of a single magnetic moment : isotropic, uniaxial, planar, intermediate... Macroscopic magnetic state (long range order, spin liquid, paramagnets,....) Magnetic domains (energy of the domain walls, shape of the domains, reversibility/irreversibility, ...) Dynamics: ferromagnetic gap (MHz-GHz), antiferromagnetic gap (THz) Effect of a magnetic field : dependence on its orientation...

....

Anistotropic exchange interaction between neighboring spins Macroscopic magnetic state (long range order, spin liquid,...) Skyrmions and other topological objects ...

Measuring the magnetic anisotropy

From fundamentals to applications...





S. DE BRION sept 2021

bibliography

André HERPIN: Théorie du magnétisme 1986 Du Trémolet de Lacheisserie: Magnétisme I-Fondements / Magnetism I Blundell: Magnetism

Quantum mechanical treatment

RH White: Quantum magnetism

 Abragam and Bleaney: Electron paramagnetic resonance of transition ions (single ion anisotropy for 3d elements)
 Werth and Bolton : Electron spin resonance , elementary theory and practical applications recent edition : Weil and Bolton

•••

+ articles....

Magnetic anisotropies

1. Importance of magnetic anisotropy

- 2. Single ion Magnetic anisotropy: phenomenological description
- 3. Single ion Magnetic anisotropy: microscopic origin
- 4. Exchange anisotropy
- 5. Examples with magneto-electric effects





1. Importance of magnetic anisotropy: domains

Example: domains in a ferromagnet magnetization curve M(H)

reversible magnetisation $M = \chi H$





1. Importance of magnetic anisotropy: domains

Example: domains in a ferromagnet magnetization curve M(H)



Irreversible magnetisation: Spontaneous magnetization H = 0 M=Ms≠ 0



NdFeB domains

1. Importance of magnetic anisotropy: domains

Example: domains in a ferromagnet magnetization curve M(H)





Soft and hard magnetic magnetic materials (flux conductors and permanent magnets)

1. Importance of magnetic anisotropy: magnetic frustration

example of spins on a triangle

F first neighbor interaction



F long range magnetic order

AF first neighbor interaction



Magnetic frustration

F first neighbor interaction + **multiaxial anisotropy**



Magnetic frustration **SPIN ICES**

1. Importance of magnetic anisotropy: spin ices



Local order of protons in water ice « 2 close - 2 far » from Oxygen



Extensive degeneracy Finite entropy



J.S.Gardner, M.J.P.Gingras, J.E.Greedan, Phys.Rev.Mod 82 (2010)



Magneto caloric effect...

Example: skyrmions

MnSi

S. Mühlbauer *et al* Skyrmion lattice in a chiral magnet *Science* **323**, 915–919 (2009) Neutron scatering





$\rm GaV_4Se_8$

S. Bordács, *et al.* Equilibrium Skyrmion Lattice Ground State in a Polar Easy-plane Magnet. *Sci Rep* **7**, 7584 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07996-x



Example: skyrmions

Skyrmions and Antiskyrmions in Quasi-Two-Dimensional Magnets https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2018.00098





nano electronics....

Magnetically induced ferroelectricity

Cycloidal order and induced electric polaristion in TbMnO3





T. Kimura et al, NATURE |VOL 426 | 6 NOVEMBER 2003 p55

N. Aliouane et al, PRL 102, 207205 (2009)

Magnetically induced ferroelectricity









ME sensors / memory

1. Importance of magnetic anisotropy

Kitaev physicsAnisotropic Exchange interactions on a honeycombe lattice
Frustation leads to a spin liquid phase whose properties are exactly calculated
(search for experimental realisation...)

$$H_{Kitaev} = -\sum_{i,\alpha=1,2,3} \left(K_x S_i^x S_\alpha^x + K_y S_i^y S_\alpha^y + K_z S_i^z S_\alpha^z \right)$$



Lukas Janssen and Matthias Vojta 2019 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **31** 423002

1. Importance of magnetic anisotropy

Kitaev physicsAnisotropic Exchange interactions on a honeycombe lattice
Frustation leads to a spin liquid phase whose properties are exactly calculated
(search for experimental realisation...)

$$H_{Kitaev} = -\sum_{i,\alpha=1,2,3} \left(K_x S_i^x S_\alpha^x + K_y S_i^y S_\alpha^y + K_z S_i^z S_\alpha^z \right)$$

Lukas Janssen and Matthias Vojta 2019 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 31 423002





Topologically protected excitations as building blocks for quantum computing

Magnetic anisotropies

- 1. Importance of magnetic anisotropy
- 2. Single ion Magnetic anisotropy: phenomenological description
- 3. Single ion Magnetic anisotropy: microscopic origin
- 4. Exchange anisotropy
- 5. Examples with magneto-electric effects





2. Single ion magnetic anisotropy : phenomelological description

The magnetic moment is « pinned » to one/several directions in the periodic lattice thanks to its orbital momentum It depends also on the local crystal symmetry

Phenomelological description: Magneto cristalline energy Spherical coordinates θ, ϕ

Axial symmetry : $e_{an} = K_1 \sin^2 \theta$ $K_1 > 0, e_{an}$ minimum for $\theta = 0$ uniaxial system $K_1 < 0, e_{an}$ minimum for $\theta = \pi/2$ planar system





Example : magnetization curve of the uniaxial compound YCo5 $\mu_0 H_a = 2K_1 / M_s \approx 17T$

2. Single ion magnetic anisotropy : phenomelological description

Magneto cristalline energy in hexagonal symmetry

 $e_{an} = K_1 \sin^2 \theta + K_2 \sin^4 \theta + K_3 \sin^6 \theta + K_6 \sin^6 \theta \cos^6 \theta + K_{12} \sin^{12} \theta \cos^{12} \theta + \dots$



 $e_{an} = \mathbf{K}_1 \left(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2 \right) + \mathbf{K}_2 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2^2 \alpha_3^2 + \dots$

Where α_i is the direction cosine in **the i=x,y,z** direction







K_i are temperature dependent...

2. Magnetic anisotropy

Example: single domain grain with simple uniaxial anisotropy

Large hysteresis for the magnetic field applied along the uniaxial direction, with a large magnetisation

$$H_c = H_a = \frac{2K}{\mu_0 M_S}$$
$$M = M_S$$



For a magnetic field perpendicular to the uniaxial direction, there is no hysteresis and a saturation occurs at H_a .

2. Shape anisotropy

At the surface of a macroscopic sample, there is a discontinuity in the magnetisation equivalent to magnetic 'charges'. The associated magnetic field **H**_{dem} is the demagnetising field that depends on the sample shape and its magnetisation

Example: A finite chain of magnetic dipoles



in A_i, the magnetic dipolar fields cancelled, not in S and S'...

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{H_{dem}} &= -n \; \boldsymbol{M} \\ \boldsymbol{e_{ms}} &= -\frac{1}{2} \mu_0 \boldsymbol{M} \cdot \boldsymbol{H_{dem}} = \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 {}_0 n \boldsymbol{M}^2 \end{split}$$

n is the demagnetising coefficent that depends on the sample shape

2. Shape anisotropy

At the surface of a macroscopic sample, there is a discontinuity in the magnetisation equivalent to magnetic 'charges'. The associated magnetic field is the demagnetising field that depends on the sample shape.

 $H_{dem} = 0$

 $H_{dem} = -M$

$$\boldsymbol{H_{dem}} = -n \boldsymbol{M} \qquad e_{ms} = -\frac{1}{2}\mu_0 \boldsymbol{M} \cdot \boldsymbol{H_{dem}} = \frac{1}{2}\mu_0 n \boldsymbol{M}^2 \cdot \boldsymbol{H_{dem}}$$

Examples :

Spheres

All directions are equivalent: no shape anisotropy

Thin film with in plane magnetisation:

Thin film with out of plane magnetisation:

Note
n is a Tensor
$$H_{dem} = -[n] M$$
 $n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ for a thin plate, $n = \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix}$ for a sphere ... 21

$$H_{dem} = -\frac{1}{3} M$$

$$2 e_{ms} = \mu_0 \frac{M^2}{3}$$

$$e_m = 0$$

$$e_{ms} = 0$$

$$e_{ms} = 0$$

$$2 e_{ms} = \mu_0 M^2$$

2. Shape anisotropy

Example : YCo₅



Intrinsic anistotropy

magnetization curve of the uniaxial compound YCo5 $\mu_0 H_a = 2K_1 / M_s \approx 17T$ Shape anistotropy



Thin film at saturated magnetization

 $\mu_0 H_{dem} = -\mu_0 M_S \approx -1.1 T$

2. Magnetic anisotropy

If the surface is large compared to the volume, you have to consider the surface symetry breaking

 $e_{an} = K_1 \cos^2 \theta$

+ magnetoelastic anisotropy : Induced by the strain of the substrate

Shape anistropy + Magnetocrystaline anistotropy + magneto elastic anisotropy

 $K_{eff} = K_{vol} + K_s / d$



FIG. 1. Schematic dependence of Kt on layer thickness t, in the presence of negative Néel surface anisotropy K_N .



Magnetic anisotropies

- 1. Importance of magnetic anisotropy
- 2. Single ion Magnetic anisotropy: phenomenological description
- 3. Single ion Magnetic anisotropy: microscopic origin
- 4. Exchange anisotropy
- 5. Examples with magneto-electric effects





3. Single ion Magnetic anisotropy : microscopic origin

The **orbital part** of the magnetic moment is **sensitive to the electrostatic environment** (the spin part is not) created by the surroundings, **called crystal field.**

Example: spinel AB₂O₄ A and B sites with a magnetic ion surrounded by O²⁻ A site: tetrahedral B site: octahedral



How to describe this crystal field contribution? Start with the quantum description of the single magnetic ion/element Two main different cases: transition elements / rare earth elements



Extended electronic density

Sensitive to crystal field environnement

4f elements:

Localised electronic density Weakly sensitive to crystal field environnement





27

10/09/2021

3d elements

Example : Co^{2+} , Ni^{2+} in octahedral environment for instance B site in the spinel GeM_2O_4

Shape of d orbitals: solutions of the Hamiltonian for electrons in the central electric potential of the positively charged nucleus





Shape of d orbitals



In cubic symmetry :

0 _h (m-3m)	#	1	4	2	3	2'	-1	-4	m	-3	m'	functions
Mult.	-	1	6	3	8	6	1	6	3	8	6	•
A_{1g}	Γ_1^+	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	x ² +y ² +z ²
A_{1u}	Γ_1^{-}	1	1	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	
A_{2g}	Γ_2^+	1	-1	1	1	-1	1	-1	1	1	-1	
A _{2u}	Γ_2 ⁻	1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	1	
Eg	Γ ₃ +	2	0	2	-1	0	2	0	2	-1	0	(2z ² -x ² -y ² ,x ² -y ²)
Eu	Γ ₃ -	2	0	2	-1	0	-2	0	-2	1	0	
T_{2u}	Γ ₅ -	3	-1	-1	0	1	-3	1	1	0	-1	
T _{2g}	Γ ₅ +	3	-1	-1	0	1	3	-1	-1	0	1	(xy,xz,yz)
T _{1u}	Γ ₄ -	3	1	-1	0	-1	-3	-1	1	0	1	(x,y,z)
T _{1g}	Γ_4^+	3	1	-1	0	-1	3	1	-1	0	-1	(J _x ,J _y ,J _z) ₂₉
2				htt	ps://w	ww.cry	vst.ehu	.es/				

REPRESENTATIONS OF SYMMETRY POINT GROUPS 1) Mulliken labels







In cubic symmetry, e_g and t_g^2 orbitals have the same energy

In an **octahedral crystal field symmetry**, it is no longer the case

In an octahedral crystal field:



e _g Orbitals point towards the CF electric charges : strong repulsion

In an octahedral crystal field:

e g Orbitals point towards the CF electric charges : strong repulsion



t 2g Orbitals point away from the CF electric charges : weak repulsion



In an octahedral crystal field, there are two groups of orbitals:



Co²⁺: 3d⁷

S = 3/2 high spin state When $\Delta \ll$ U Hund coupling

S = 1/2 low spin state When $\Delta >> U$ Hund coupling

What is the magnetic anisotropy for these two states? INCLUDE ORBITAL CONTRIBUTION AND SPIN ORBIT COUPLING

Crystal field: quantum mechanical treatment

Crystal field:

For one electron i at position r_i experiencing the electrostatic potential of charges q_j at position R_j :

$$V_i = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \sum_j \frac{q_j}{|\mathbf{R}_j - \mathbf{r}_i|}$$

In a cubic coordination :

$$\begin{split} V_c(x,y,z) &= C_4 \left[\left(x^4 + y^4 + z^4 \right) - \frac{3}{5} r^4 \right] + C_6 \left[\left(x^6 + y^6 + z^6 \right) & (2.51) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{15}{4} (x^2 y^4 + x^2 z^4 + y^2 x^4 + y^2 z^4 + z^2 x^4 + z^2 y^4) - \frac{15}{14} r^6 \right], \end{split}$$

where $C_4 = +\frac{35}{4}qq'/d^5$ for sixfold coordination.



Х

Crystal field: quantum mechanical treatment

Crystal field: Spherical coordinates For one electron i at position r_i experiencing the electrostatic potential of charges q_i at position R_i : $V_i = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \sum_j \frac{q_j}{|\mathbf{R}_j - \mathbf{r}_j|}$ $V(r,\theta,\varphi) = \sum_{L'} \sum_{L'} A_{L'}^{M'} r^{L'} Y_{L'}^{M'}(\theta,\varphi) \,.$ In a cubic coordination : $V_{c}(r,\theta,\varphi) = D'_{4} \left\{ Y_{4}^{0}(\theta,\varphi) + \sqrt{\frac{5}{14}} \left[Y_{4}^{4}(\theta,\varphi) + Y_{4}^{-4}(\theta,\varphi) \right] \right\}$ $V_c(x, y, z) = C_4 \left[(x^4 + y^4 + z^4) - \frac{3}{5}r^4 \right] + C_6 \left[(x^6 + y^6 + z^6) \right]$ (2.51) $+D_6'\left\{Y_6^0(\theta,\varphi)-\sqrt{\frac{7}{2}}\left[Y_6^4(\theta,\varphi)+Y_6^{-4}(\theta,\varphi)\right]\right\}$ $\left. + \frac{15}{4} (x^2 y^4 + x^2 z^4 + y^2 x^4 + y^2 z^4 + z^2 x^4 + z^2 y^4) - \frac{15}{14} r^6 \right| \,,$ where $C_4 = +\frac{35}{4}qq'/d^5$ for sixfold coordination. $D'_4 = +\frac{7}{3}\sqrt{\pi}q'r^4/d^5$ for sixfold coordination. Co²⁺ O²⁻
Crystal field: quantum mechanical treatment

The crystal field potential can be expressed in spherical harmonics $Y_L^M(\theta, \varphi)$ or more conveniently using angular momentum operators

Operator equivalent method: matrix elements of operators involving *x*, *y*, and *z* within a given *L* or *J* manifold are proportional to those of *Lx*, *Ly* and *Lz* or *Jx*, *Jy* and *Jz*

$$\sum \left(x^4 + y^4 + z^4 - \frac{3}{5}r^4 \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\beta \overline{r^4}}{8} \left[35L_z^4 - 30L(L+1)L_z^2 + 25L_z^2 - 6L(L+1) + 3L^2(L+1)^2 \right]$$

$$+ \frac{\beta \overline{r^4}}{8} \left[(L^+)^4 + (L^-)^4 \right] \equiv \frac{\beta \overline{r^4}}{20} O_4^0 + \frac{\beta \overline{r^4}}{4} O_4^4 = B_4^0 O_4^0 + B_4^4 O_4^4 , \quad (2.52)$$

where $\overline{r^4}$ is the average value of the fourth power of the electron radius. The operators O_n^m appear frequently in the literature. The ground state β is a constant which depends on the term; for a 2D or a 5D term $\beta = \frac{2}{63}$.

Racah operators O_m⁻¹ are also used (or Wybourne....). They are linear combinations, with eventually a radial contribution and a renormalization coefficient, that are tabulated . See for instance **Point-Charge Calculations** of Energy Levels of Magnetic Ions in Crystalline Electric Fields^{*}M.T.Hutchings

•Co²⁺: 3d⁷ S=3/2 L=3 J=9/2 ${}^{4}F_{9/2}$ orbital degeneracy: 7 (/ 3>, /2>/1>/0>/-1>/-2>/-3>) lifted by the crystal field In an octahedral crystal field: W(T_{1g})=3/5 Δ triply degenerate W(T_{2g})=-1/5 Δ triply degenerate W(A_{2g})=-6/5 Δ non degenerate

 $\Delta = 30 \beta_c$ is the crystal field strength in the CF hamiltonian

Where Δ is negative for 3 d⁷: the ground state is T_{1g} and triply degenerate



Spin degeneracy: 2S+1=4



/1/2,±1/2>=0.71/±1, ±3/2> - 0.58 /0, ±1/2> +0.41 /±1, ±1/2>

Octahedral **Spin-orbit** magnetic field Crystal Field coupling

high spin state Doublet: Seff = ½ g = 4.33

ex: Co²⁺ in MgO: g=4.28 isotropic



In a distorted octahedra, strong g anisotropy

ex: Co²⁺ in TiO₂: g_{xx}=2.09, g_{YY}=3.72, g_{ZZ}=5.86

Co²⁺: 3d⁷

Orbital degeneracy





•Co²⁺: ${}^{4}F_{9/2}$ octahedral crystal field Dependence on the CF strength



•Ni²⁺: 3d⁸ S=1, L=3, J=4 ${}^{3}F_{4}$ In octahedral crystal field:



Non degenerate ground state ${}^{3}A_{2g}$

Ni²⁺ ³F₄ •in octahedral crystal field: ${}^{3}A_{2g}$ •Spin orbit coupling with excited T2g state, S = 1 g isotropic $g=g_{e}-8\lambda/\Delta$

• litterature g=2.1-2.33 ($\lambda = -325 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) $\Delta \approx 12\ 000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$)



3. Magnetic anisotropy : CF origin

Single ion orbitals in the CF environment created by the ion surroundings



Quantum calculations in a point charge model



Limitation: charge screening effects from delocalized electrons : conduction electrons or covalency effects

3. Magnetic anisotropy : microscopic origin

Description of the single ion/element magnetic moment



⁴f elements

Crystal field: quantum mechanical treatment

Spectroscopic nota According to the to orbital momentum

1/2

1

2

2

3/2

1

1/2

3

3

2

9/2

5/2

4

7

7

5

No. of

electr ons

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

		-								
scopic notation ng to the total nomentum		L	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	
		symbol	S	Р	D	F	G	Н	I	
						^{2S+1} L _j	I			
	3d	elem	ients							
S Of the g	L round	J state	Orbital degenera cy	Sp os c syi	ectr copi mbol	examp	oles			
1/2	2	3/2	5	² D	3/2	Sc ²⁺ , T	i ³⁺			
1	3	2	7	³ F ₂	2	Ti ²⁺ , V ³	³⁺ , Cr ⁴⁺			
3/2	3	3/2	7	${}^{4}F_{3}$	3/2	V ²⁺ , Cr	³⁺ , Mn'	4+		
2	2	0	5	⁵ D	0	Cr ²⁺ , N	1n ³⁺			
5/2	0	5/2	1	⁶ S5	5/2	Mn²+,	Fe ³⁺			
2	2	4	5	⁵ D	4	Fe ²⁺				

⁴F_{9/2}

 ${}^{3}F_{4}$

²D_{5/2}

Co²⁺, Ni³⁺

Ni²⁺, Cu³⁺

Cu²⁺

4f elements						
No. of electro ns	S Of the	L ground	J I state	Orbital degenera cy	Spectrosc opic symbol	examples
1	1/2	3	5/2	7	² F _{5/2}	Ce ³⁺
2	1	5	4	11	³ H ₄	Pr ³⁺
3	3/2	6	9/2	13	⁴ I _{9/2}	Nd ³⁺
4	2	6	4	13	⁵ I ₄	Pm ³⁺
5	5/2	5	5/2	11	⁶ H _{5/2}	Sm ³⁺
6	3	3	0	7	⁷ F ₀	Eu ²⁺
7	7/2	0	7/2	0	⁸ S _{7/2}	Gd ³⁺
8	3	3	6	7	⁷ F ₆	Tb ³⁺
9	5/2	5	15/2	11	⁶ H _{15/2}	Dy ³⁺
10	2	6	8	13	⁵ I ₈	Ho ³⁺
11	3/2	6	15/2	13	⁴ I _{15/2}	Er ³⁺
12	1	5	6	11	³ H ₆	Tm ³⁺
13	1/2	3	7/2	7	² F _{7/2}	Yb ³⁺

3. Magnetic anisotropy : the case of Tb3+ in the pyrochlore Tb2Ti2O₇





D3d symmetry

Quantum Spin ice

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\rm CF} = B_2^0 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_2^0 + B_4^0 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_4^0 + B_4^3 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_4^3 + B_6^0 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_6^0 + B_6^3 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_6^3 + B_6^6 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_6^6,$$



D3d= C3 principal axes along $[111]=z + 3C_2$ axis along $[1-10]=x + 120^{\circ}+120^{\circ}$ + 3 σ_v mirrors bisecting the angles formed by pairs of C2 axes centrosymmetric Tb³⁺ (16d) surrounded by 6 O²⁻ (O1 at 48f) at 0.250 nm and 2 O²⁻ (O2 at 8b) at 0.225 nm along [111] centrosymmetric



[1-10]

 $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\rm CF} = B_2^0 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_2^0 + B_4^0 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_4^0 + B_4^3 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_4^3 + B_6^0 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_6^0 + B_6^3 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_6^3 + B_6^6 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_6^6,$ [111] **Stevens operators** $\widehat{X} = J(J+1)\widehat{I}.$ $\mathcal{O}_2^0 = \mathcal{O}_{z^2} = 3\overline{J_z} - \overline{X}$ $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_2^1 = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{xz} = \frac{1}{2}(\widehat{J}_z \widehat{J}_x + \widehat{J}_x \widehat{J}_z)$ Tb³⁺ O²⁻ $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_2^{-1} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{yz} = \frac{1}{2}(\widehat{J_z}\widehat{J_y} + \widehat{J_y}\widehat{J_z})$ $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{2}^{2} = 2\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{x^{2}-y^{2}} = \frac{1}{2}(\widehat{J}_{+}^{2} + \widehat{J}_{-}^{2}) = \widehat{J}_{x}^{2} - \widehat{J}_{y}^{2}$ $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_2^{-2} = 2\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{xy} = -\frac{i}{2}(\widehat{J}_+^2 - \widehat{J}_-^2) = \widehat{J}_x\widehat{J}_y + \widehat{J}_y\widehat{J}_x$ $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{4}^{0} = 35\widehat{J}_{z}^{4} - [30\widehat{X} - 25\widehat{I}]\widehat{J}_{z}^{2} + [3\widehat{X}^{2} - 6\widehat{X}]$ $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{4}^{3} = \frac{1}{4} [\widehat{J}_{z}(\widehat{J}_{+}^{3} + \widehat{J}_{-}^{3}) + (\widehat{J}_{+}^{3} + \widehat{J}_{-}^{3})\widehat{J}_{z}]$ $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{4}^{4} = \frac{1}{2}(\widehat{J}_{+}^{4} + \widehat{J}_{-}^{4})$ $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{6}^{0} = 231\widehat{J}_{z}^{6} - [315\widehat{X} - 735\widehat{I}]\widehat{J}_{z}^{4}$ $+ [105\widehat{X}^2 - 525\widehat{X} + 294\widehat{I}]\widehat{J}_z^2$ $-[5\widehat{X}^{3}-40\widehat{X}^{2}+60\widehat{X}]$

Whybourne operators operators

$$\widehat{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_n^m} = (\lambda_n^m)^{-1} \big(\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{-m}^n + (-1)^m \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_m^n \big)$$

TABLE II. Values of λ_n^m parameters involved in the CF Hamiltonian of the studied pyrochlore.

λ_2^0	λ_4^0	λ_4^3	λ_6^0	λ_6^3	λ_6^6
1/2	1/8	$-\sqrt{35}/2$	1/16	$-\sqrt{105}/8$	$\sqrt{231}/16$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_m^n = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2n+1}} \widehat{Y}_n^m$$

Other Stevens operators...

 $\widehat{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_n^m} = \theta_n(J)\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n^m,$

TABLE III. Matrix element $\theta_n(J)$ for Tb³⁺ (J = 6).

θ_2	$ heta_4$	θ_6
-1/99	2/16335	-1/891891

K. Amelin, Y. Alexanian et al PHYSICAL REVIEW B 102, 134428 (2020)

 $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\rm CF} = B_2^0 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_2^0 + B_4^0 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_4^0 + B_4^3 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_4^3 + B_6^0 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_6^0 + B_6^3 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_6^3 + B_6^6 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_6^6,$

TABLE I. CF parameters used in the CF Hamiltonian.

B_k^q		meV		К
B_{2}^{0}		-0.26		-3.0
B_4^0		4.5×10^{-3}		5.2×10^{-2}
B_{4}^{3}		-4.1×10^{-2}		-4.8×10^{-1}
B_6^0		-4.5×10^{-6}		-5.2×10^{-5}
B_{6}^{3}		-1.2×10^{-4}		-1.4×10^{-3}
B_{6}^{6}		-1.4×10^{-4}		-1.6×10^{-3}
	$ \psi^0_+ angle(0.0)$	$ \psi^0 angle(0.0)$	$ \psi^1_+\rangle(13.5)$	$ \psi_{-}^{1}\rangle(13.5)$
6⟩ 5⟩ 4⟩	0.35	-0.91	-0.89	-0.37
$\begin{array}{c} 3\rangle \\ 2\rangle \\ 1\rangle \\ 0\rangle \end{array}$	0.18	-0.13	-0.25	-0.14
$ -1\rangle$ $ -2\rangle$ $ -3\rangle$	-0.13	-0.18	-0.11	0.25
$ -4\rangle$ $ -5\rangle$ $ -6\rangle$	0.91	0.35	0.37	-0.89



B_k^q parameters have been fitted using the experimental values of the excited states energies 50

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\rm CF} = B_2^0 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_2^0 + B_4^0 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_4^0 + B_4^3 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_4^3 + B_6^0 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_6^0 + B_6^3 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_6^3 + B_6^6 \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_6^6,$$

TABLE I. CF parameters used in the CF Hamiltonian.

B_k^q	meV	К
B_{2}^{0}	-0.26	-3.0
B_4^0	4.5×10^{-3}	5.2×10^{-2}
B_{4}^{3}	-4.1×10^{-2}	-4.8×10^{-1}
B_{6}^{0}	-4.5×10^{-6}	-5.2×10^{-5}
B_{6}^{3}	-1.2×10^{-4}	-1.4×10^{-3}
B_{6}^{6}	-1.4×10^{-4}	-1.6×10^{-3}

[111] H [111] 4 1 2 [111] [111] [111]



Uniaxial Ising spins or the ground and first excited state

OK spin ice BUT first excited state close in energy in the quantum spin ice

K. Amelin, Y. Alexanian et al PHYSICAL REVIEW B 102, 134428 (2020)

Tb₂Ti₂O₇ peculiarities: Crystal Electric Field (CEF)



Weak Ising: Crystal Electric Field (CEF) level at 20 K (300 K for Ho₂Ti₂O₇)

Magnetic anisotropies

- 1. Importance of magnetic anisotropy
- 2. Single ion Magnetic anisotropy: phenomenological description
- 3. Single ion Magnetic anisotropy: microscopic origin
- 4. Exchange anisotropy
- 5. Examples with magneto-electric effects





The exchange energy between two ions depends on the direction of the magnetic moment of each ion

Dipolar anisotropy between two magnetic elements of magnetic moment μ_i and μ_j at a distance r_{ij} from each other :

$$\mathcal{H}_{dip} = \sum_{\substack{i,j\neq j\\i}} \frac{1}{r_{ij}^3} \left[\boldsymbol{\mu}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_j - 3(\boldsymbol{\mu}_i \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{r}}_{ij})(\boldsymbol{\mu}_j \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{r}}_{ij}) \right] \times \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}_0}{4\pi}$$



All the more large that the **TOTAL magnetic moments** are large :

Ho^{3+,} Dy³⁺ m=10
$$\mu_B$$

ER^{3+,} Tb³⁺ m= 9 μ_B
In pyrochore R₂Ti₂O₇ 1-2 K

Dipolar anisotropy between two magnetic elements of magnetic moment μ_i and μ_j at a distance r_{ij} from each other :

$$\mathcal{H}_{dip} = \sum_{\substack{i,j\neq j\\i}} \frac{1}{r_{ij}^3} \left[\boldsymbol{\mu}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_j - 3(\boldsymbol{\mu}_i \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{r}}_{ij})(\boldsymbol{\mu}_j \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{r}}_{ij}) \right] \times \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}_0}{4\pi}$$



Depends on the symmetry of the magnetic elements lattice:

Cubic lattice (infinite) : \mathcal{H}_{dip} = 0 to first order . Example: cubic α Fe, even though μ = 2.2 μ_{B}

The exchange energy between two ions depends on the direction of the magnetic moment of each ion

Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction (DMI) or antisymmetric interaction





$$e_{DM}^{i,j} = D_{ij} \cdot S_i \times S_j$$

Symmetry requirement for **the bond**: no inversion center See original paper by Moriya

Anisotropic Superexchange Interaction and Weak Ferromagnetism Tôru Moriya Phys. Rev. 120, 91 – Published 1 October 1960

Anisotropic Superexchange Interaction and Weak Ferromagnetism

TÔRU MORIYA* Bell Telephone Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey (Received May 25, 1960)

A theory of anisotropic superexchange interaction is developed by extending the Anderson theory of superexchange to include spin-orbit coupling. The antisymmetric spin coupling suggested by Dzialoshinski from purely symmetry grounds and the symmetric pseudodipolar interaction are derived. Their orders of magnitudes are estimated to be $(\Delta g/g)$ and $(\Delta g/g)^2$ times the isotropic superexchange energy, respectively. Higher order spin couplings are also discussed. As an example of antisymmetric spin coupling the case of $CuCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ is illustrated. In $CuCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$, a spin arrangement which is different from one accepted so far is proposed. This antisymmetric interaction is shown to be responsible for weak ferromagnetism in α -Fe₂O₃, MnCO₂, and CrF₃. The paramagnetic susceptibility perpendicular to the trigonal axis is expected to increase very sharply near the Néel temperature as the temperature is lowered, as was actually observed in CrF₃.

CRYSTAL SYMMETRY AND THE ANTISYMMETRIC SPIN COUPLING

In the preceding section a general theory of calculating the anisotropic superexchange interaction was developed. In an actual crystal, some components of the symmetric and antisymmetric coupling tensors vanish because of the crystal symmetry. Here we discuss the antisymmetric coupling (1.1) from the crystal symmetry point of view.

The coupling between two ions in the crystal is considered first. The two ions 1 and 2 are located at the points A and B, respectively, and the point bisecting the straight line AB is denoted by C. The following rules are obtained easily.

When a center of inversion is located at C,

D = 0.

 When a mirror plane perpendicular to AB passes through C,

$\mathbf{D} \parallel \text{mirror plane or } \mathbf{D} \perp AB.$

3. When there is a mirror plane including A and B,

D ⊥ mirror plane.

 When a two-fold rotation axis perpendicular to AB passes through C,

 $\mathbf{D} \perp$ two-fold axis.

When there is an n-fold axis (n≥2) along AB,

$\mathbf{D} \parallel AB.$

For example, for an $Fe^{3+} - Fe^{3+}$ pair in α -Fe₂O₄ oriented along the three-fold axis, **D** is parallel to the trigonal axis when the two ions are (1 or 4) and (2 or 3) in Fig. 1 and **D** is zero for the other pairs. For the rutile type iron group diffuorides, **D** is not zero for the pairs of corner and body-center ions. **D** for the nearest neighbor interaction is given by the following table.

The Positions of the Ions			Direction .	Magnitude	
000	and	1/2, 1/2, ±1/2	[1 1 0]	$\pm D$	
000	and	$-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}$	[1 1 0]	$\pm D$	
000	and	$-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}$	[1 1 0]	$\pm D$	
000	and	$\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}$	[1 1 0]	$\pm D$	

Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction (DMI) or antisymmetric interaction



Will induce a rotation of the magnetic moment weak ferromagnetism in antiferromagnet (α-Fe2O3) spiral or cycloidal phases skyrmions

Anisotropic exchange :

$$H_{\rm AE} = \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{i,j} \cdot \mathbf{S}_j,$$

example

$$\Gamma_{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00\\ 0.00 & 0.05 & \underline{0.12}\\ 0.00 & \underline{0.12} & 0.05 \end{pmatrix}$$

That couples site 1 and 2 with their x,y,z components

Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction (DMI) or antisymmetric interaction



Strength of DM anisotropy (D) versus exchange anisotropy (Γ) : a function of the anistotropy of the g factor $\Delta g/g$

 $D \sim (\Delta g/g) J$, $\Gamma \sim (\Delta g/g)^2 J$.

T. Moriya PR 1960

DM: first order spin orbit effect exchange anisotropy: second order spin orbit effect



D and Γ can be non zero even though there is no single ion anisotropy (no *g* anisotropy) It arises then from the couplings to the first excited multiplet.

• •

Superexchange and beyond Direct exchange Double exchange

RKKY interactions in metals

through different orbitals (Goodenough Kanamori rules)

through different electronic bands



Build the appropriate , solvable Hamiltonian Dimensionality of the spin Form/ Strength /sign of the dominant interaction Magnetic network



Magnetic ground state Static and dynamical properties



5. Measuring the magnetic anisotropy

Static properties

Dynamical properties

Magnetization curve Torque Neutron scattering for the magnetic texture Magnetic imaging for nanomicroscale (AFM, ...)

Magnetic resonance Spin waves Spectroscopy

...

Beware

<u>_!</u>

...

When several magnetic sites or multiaxial system, the macroscopic properties do not reflect the single site properties ...

Magnetic anisotropies

- 1. Importance of magnetic anisotropy
- 2. Single ion Magnetic anisotropy: phenomenological description
- 3. Single ion Magnetic anisotropy: microscopic origin
- 4. Exchange anisotropy
- 5. Examples with magneto-electric effects





5. Example 1: electromagnons in multiferroics

Static /dynamical properties



A. PIMENOV et, Nature Physics 2006

5. Example 1: electromagnons in multiferroics

Possible evidence for electromagnons in multiferroic manganites A. PIMENOV et al, Nature Physics 2006



Figure 2 Spectra of electromagnons in GdMnO₃ and TbMnO₃. a–d, Frequency dependence of the real (a,c) and imaginary (b,d) parts of the terahertz-dielectric function in CdMnO₄ (a b) and TbMnO₄ (a d) with a life and P life Open symbols represent experimental data is zero external magnetia field and in the LC ACM phase. Solid lines

5. Electromagnons in the multiferroic h-ErMnO3

h-ErMnO3 a type I multiferroic $T_c = 800K T_N (Mn) = 80 K$

Neutron inelastic scattering measurements



2. NEUTRON / THZ SPECTROSCOPY : COMPLEMENTARY TECHNIQUES



DISPERSION CURVES Ω (K) χ_2 (Ω , K) \perp K

MAGNETIC AND ATOMIC PROBE

Whole reciprocal space



ABSORBTION CURVES Ω (K ≈ 0) χ_2 (Ω , 0)

MAGNETIC AND ELECTRIC PROBE

Smaller sample Increased energy resolution

2. Hexagonal manganites : ErMnO₃





Mn MAGNON Er³⁺ CRYSTAL FIELD EXCITATION at 60 cm-1 **ELECTRO ACTIVE MAGNON at 45 cm-1**

NEUTRONS

2. Hexagonal manganites : ErMnO₃



Er/Mn dynamical coupling : electroactive magnon Mn MAGNON / Er CRYSTAL FIELD HYBRIDE EXCITATION

L. CHAIX et al PRL 112, 137201(2014)

EXAMPLE 1 : TRANSMUTATION OF A MAGNON into an ELECTRIC EXCITATION in the THz range

Multiferroic h-ErMnO₃



Towards manipulation of magnon through elecric field... Check through pump probe experiment...

5. Example 2 : electro-magnetic monopoles in spin ices

THZ FEL

Pump probe experiment



TERAFERMI @ Trieste

ErMnO₃ FLUENCE EFFECTS at 6K



ErMnO3 THz spectrum at 6K.

Black line: linear response from AILES@SOLEIL source. Red and blue

Red and blue lines:

response from 0.54 μJ and 1.6 μJ pulses respectively provided by TERAFERMI. 71

Magnetic frustration and spin ices

Magnetic frustration : One or several competing interactions can not be satisfied simultaneously







Local order of protons in water ice « 2 close - 2 far » from oxygen
Spin ices and magneto-electric effects

« 2 in – 2 out » spin ice



Spin ices and magneto-electric effects

« 2 in – 2 out » spin ice



« magnetic monopoles » + electric dipoles

ARTICLE

Received 23 Feb 2012 | Accepted 14 May 2012 | Published 19 Jun 2012

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms1904

Electric dipoles on magnetic monopoles in spin ice

D.I. Khomskii

Spin ices and magneto-electric effects



Magneto-electric effects in the spin ice compound $Ho_2Ti_2O_7$

Y. Alexanian, V. Simonet, R. Ballou, J. Robert, C. Decorse, J. Debray, F. Gay, and <u>S. de Brion</u>

Theorerical investigations

D. I. Khomskii, Nat. Commun. 3: 904 (2012) L. Jaubert and R. Moessner, Phys. RevB 91: 214422(2015)

Experimental evidences

P. Grams et al, Nat. Commun. 5: 4853 (2013) in Dy₂Ti₂O₇ D. Liu et al , J. Appl. Phys. 113: 17D901 (2013)

in Ho₂Ti₂O₇ F. Jin et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124: 087601 (2020) in Tb₂Ti₂O₇





Magneto – electric effects in Ho₂Ti₂O₇



At low temperature below 4 K, 3 different regims are observed as a function of the applied magnetic field

Magneto – electric effects in Ho₂Ti₂O₇



Monte Carlo simulation H // [111]

Magnetisation curves

 $2 \times 2 \times 2$ units of 16 spins

Dielectric permittivity

 $4 \times 4 \times 4$ units of 16 spins Electric dipole oriented according to Komskii's model



Conclusion : are spin ices multiferroics?

Magneto-elecric effects are present





Y. Alexanian

Signature of the different phase are observed but not explained within the Komskii's model



NO BUT



END