

-

Fields, moments, units

Olivier FRUCHART

Univ. Grenoble Alpes / CEA / CNRS, SPINTEC, France



THE EUROPEAN SCHOOL ON MAGNETISM

Dear Institute,

Sent to esm@magnetism.eu on 12 Sep.2010

I've always had a fascination with electromagnetism, and have pondered the theories of gravity. One thing I've come across in preliminary research is that the current theories largely fail to include human element in, as if we're just baseless objects trapped here without a role in the ultimate reason. (...)

Humans are magnets, too, as we possess iron. (...) If you take two magnets, they stick together when proper polars are placed near each other. What causes humans to act as the 2nd magnet in gravity is the iron found in humans. Earth, obviously the big magnet with the most iron, is able to control humans, the far smaller magnet with less iron. (...) Ultimately there is one controlling magnet for the entire universe somewhere in space holding it all together, like Galileo said.

Calculations of Earth's maximum gravitation pull could be made by testing individual boosters on humans and converting the thrust needed into some kind of formula which returns Earth's magnetic energical pull. (...) While it doesn't conclude why other things on Earth are in the same situation as us, it is also based on magnetism and humans have to have their own role in the matter.

Further research into it needs to be done as these are very preliminary original thoughts.

Regards,

XXX YYY.

Understand the deep roots of magnetism



THE EUROPEAN SCHOOL ON

Some references





Magnetism in Condensed Matter

OXFORD MASTER SERIES IN CONDENSED MATTER PHYSICS

Stephen Blundell



Alex Hubert Rudolf Schäfer

Magnetic Domains

The Analysis of Magnetic Microstructures

THE EUROPEAN SCHOOL ON

Springer

Spintec Olivier FRUCHART – Fields, moments, units

Quantities and units in physics



What is a quantity?

What is a unit ?

Spintec Olivier FRUCHART – Fields, moments, units

Quantities and units in physics



Quantity

- \Box Example: speed $\mathbf{v} = \delta \boldsymbol{\ell} / \delta t$
- \Box Dimension: $\dim(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{T}^{-1}$



Units

- □ Why?
 - □ Provide a measure
 - □ Universality: share with others
- Possible formalism:

 $X = X_{\alpha} \langle X \rangle_{\alpha}$ Quantity Quantity Measurc $\langle L \rangle_{SI} = meter = 100 \langle L \rangle_{cgs}$ $L = 50 \langle L \rangle_{SI} = 5000 \langle L \rangle_{cgs}$

The electric charge and the electric field





THE EUROPEAN SCHOOL ON

The electric charge and the electric field



8



Spintec Olivier FRUCHART – Fields, moments, units

ESM2019, Brno, Czech Republic

Origin of magnetic interactions



Century-old facts

Magnetic materials (rocks)







The electric current and the magnetic induction field



 $\delta \mathbf{B} = \frac{\mu_0 I \delta \mathbf{\ell} \times \mathbf{u}}{4\pi r^2}$

The electric current and the magnetic induction field



Maxwell equations (in vacuum)



12

THE EUROPEAN SCHOOL ON

The magnetic point dipole



 (\mathbf{J})

Energy

 $\mathcal{E} = -\mathbf{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ Zeeman energy

Demonstration

- Work to compensate Lenz law during rise of B
- Integrate torque from Laplace force while flipping dipole in B

Force

$\mathbf{F} = \boldsymbol{\mu} \cdot (\overline{\boldsymbol{\nabla} \mathbf{B}})$

- □ Valid only for fixed dipole
- No force in uniform magnetic induction field

Torque

$$\mathbf{\Gamma} = \oint \mathbf{r} \times I(\mathbf{d} \mathbf{\ell} \times \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{\mu} \times \mathbf{B}$$

- Inducing precession of dipole around the field
- It is energy-conservative, as expected from Laplace (Lorentz) force

Two interacting magnetic point dipoles



□ The dipole-dipole interaction is anisotropic





Magnetization



Definition

Volume density of magnetic point dipoles

 $\mathbf{M} = \frac{\delta \mathbf{\mu}}{\delta \mathcal{V}} \qquad \text{A/m}$

Total magnetic moment of a body

 $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}} = \int_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{M} \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} \quad \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{m}^2$

- Applies to: ferromagnets, paramagnets, diamagnets etc.
- Must be defined at a length scale much larger than atoms
- Is the basis for the micromagnetic theory



Free currents and bound currents

THE EUROPEAN SCHOOL ON MAGNETISM

Back to Maxwell equations

Disregard fast time dependence: magnetostatics

 $\mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \left(\mathbf{j} + \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \right)$

Consider separately real charge current, j_c from fictitious currents of magnetic dipoles j_m

 $\mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 (\mathbf{j}_{\rm c} + \mathbf{j}_{\rm m})$

□ One can show: $\nabla \times \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{j}_{m}$ A/m^{2} $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{j}_{m,s}$ A/m

Uside matter, **B** and μ_0 H coincide and have exactly the same meaning.

The magnetic field HImage: One has: $\nabla \times \left(\frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mu_0} - \mathbf{M}\right) = \mathbf{j}_c$ Image: By definition: $\mathbf{H} = \frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mu_0} - \mathbf{M}$ $\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{j}_c$

B versus H : definition of the system

- M: local (infinitesimal) part in $\delta \mathcal{V}$ of the system defined when considering a magnetic material
- H: The remaining of B coming from outside $\delta \mathcal{V}$, liable to interact with the system

Derivation of the dipolar field

The dipolar field $\mathbf{H}_{\rm d}$

 By definition: the contribution to H not related to free currents (possible to split as Maxwell equations are linear)

$$\nabla \times H_d = 0$$
 $H_d = -\nabla \phi_d$
 $H = H_d + H_{app}$ External to
magnetic bod

Analogy with electrostatics

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0 \quad \blacksquare \quad \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi$$

Derive the dipolar field
Maxwell equation
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H}_{d} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{M}$$

 $\longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_{d}(\mathbf{r}) = -M_{s} \iiint_{\mathcal{V}'} \frac{[\nabla \cdot \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r}')](\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')}{4\pi |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^{3}} d\mathcal{V}'$

To lift the singularity that may arise at boundaries, a volume integration around the boundaries yields:

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathbf{r}) = \iiint \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}') (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')}{4\pi |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} \mathrm{d}\mathcal{V}' + \oiint \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{r}') (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')}{4\pi |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} \mathrm{d}\mathcal{S}'$$

 $\rho(\mathbf{r}) = -M_{s} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \text{volume density of magnetic charges}$ $\sigma(\mathbf{r}) = M_{s} \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \text{surface density of magnetic charges}$

Stray field and demagnetizing field





B versus H – Amperian versus Coulombian – Continuity conditions



Dipolar energy – Practical cases



Dipolar energy

Dipolar energy

- □ Zeeman energy of microscopic volume $\delta \mathcal{E}_{Z} = -\mu_{0} \mathbf{M} \delta \mathcal{V} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{ext}$
- Elementary volume of a macroscopic system creating its own dipolar field $E_{\rm d} = \delta \mathcal{E}_{\rm d} / \delta \mathcal{V} = -\frac{1}{2} u_0 \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\rm d}$
- Total energy of macroscopic body

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{d}} = -\frac{1}{2}\mu_{\mathrm{0}}\iiint_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{d}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\rm d} = \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 \iiint_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{H}_{\rm d}^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{V}$$

Always positive. Zero means minimum

Size considerations $H_{d}(\mathbf{r}) = \text{Volume} + \oint \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{r}') (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')}{4\pi |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^{3}} d\mathcal{S}'$ $\cup \text{ Unchanged if all lengths are scaled: homothetic.} \\ \text{Check that the following is a solid angle:} \\ d\Omega = \frac{(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') d\mathcal{S}'}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^{3}}$

- H_{d} does not depend on the size of the body
- Neither does the volume density of energy
- Said to be a long-range interaction

Range of dipolar interactions in low dimensionality



- Dipolar fields are highly non-homogeneous in large aspect ratio systems
- Consequences: non-uniform magnetization switching, excitation modes etc.

Spintec Olivier FRUCHART – Fields, moments, units

ESM2019, Brno, Czech Republic

Demagnetizing coefficients – Maths



Demagnetizing coefficients – Take-away messages

For any shape of body

$$\langle \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = -M_{\mathrm{S}} \,\overline{\mathbf{N}} \cdot \mathbf{m}$$

 $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{d}} = K_{\mathrm{d}} V \mathbf{m} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{N}} \cdot \mathbf{m}$

Dipolar anisotropy is always of second order

 $\overline{\mathbf{N}} \text{ demagnetizing tensor. Always positive,}$ $and can be diagonalized. <math>N_x + N_y + N_z = 1$ $\mathcal{E}_{d} = K_{d} V \left(N_x m_x^2 + N_y m_y^2 + N_z m_z^2 \right)$

Along main directions

 $\langle H_{\mathrm{d},i}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = -N_i M_\mathrm{s}$



Hypothesis uniform M may be too strong Remember: dipolar field is NOT uniform

Spinter Olivier FRUCHART – Fields, moments, units

For ellipsoids etc.

Condition: boundary is a polynomial of the coordinates, with degree at most two

Slabs (thin films), cylinders, ellipsoids $z^{2} = \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^{2} = 1$ $H_{d} = -M_{s} \,\overline{\mathbb{N}} \cdot \mathbb{m}$ $\mathcal{E}_{d} = K_{d}V \,\mathbb{m} \cdot \overline{\mathbb{N}} \cdot \mathbb{m}$

Along main directions $H_{d,i} = -N_i M_s$



M and H may not be colinear along nonmain directions

Space symmetry



Time inversion symmetry

Time inversion symmetry of Maxwell equations

What happens with operation $t \rightarrow -t$ Un changed $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$ Un changed $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$ $\begin{array}{ccc} \exists \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \left(\mathbf{j} + \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \right) \\ = & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{a} \\ \exists \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{b} = & \mathbf{B} \\ \hline \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{B} = & \mathbf{0} \\ \end{array}$ Maxwell equations remains valid Solutions must comply with timereversal symmetry

Spintec Olivier FRUCHART – Fields, moments, units



What use? Magneto-crystalline anisotropy $E(\theta) = K_{10} \cos \theta + K_{01} \sin \theta + K_{11} \cos \theta \sin \theta + K_{20} \cos^2 \theta + K_{02} \sin^2 \theta + K_{30} \cos^3 \theta + K_{03} \sin^3 \theta + K_{21} \cos^2 \theta \sin \theta + K_{12} \cos \theta \sin^2 \theta + \cdots$

Odd terms are forbidden

I Indian

Units						MAGNETIS
SI system			cgs-Gauss			
Definition	Meter Kilogr Secon Amper $\mathbf{B} = \mu_o(2)$ $\mu_o = 4\pi$	Meter m Kilogramkg Second s Ampere A $\mathbf{B} = \mu_o (\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{M})$ $\mu_o = 4\pi \times 10^{-7}$ SI		Centimeter cm Gram g Second s Ab-Ampere $ab-A = 10A$ $B=H+4\pi M$ $\mu_o=4\pi$		 Problems with cgs-Gauss The quantity for charge current is missing No check for homogeneity Mix of units in spintronics
Field Moment Magnetization Induction Susceptibility	H μ M B $\chi = M/H$	1 A/m 1 A.m ² 1 A/m 1 T 1		→ $4\pi \times 10^{-3}$ em → 10^{-3} em → 10^{-3} em → 10^{4} G → $1/4\pi$	o ⁻³ Oe (Oersted) nu emu/cm ³ (Gauss)	 Inconsistent definition of H Dimensionless quantities are effected: demag factors, susceptibility etc.

More in the practical on units

THE EUROPEAN SCHOOL ON

Quantum revolution in SI units in 2019





To be measured

□ Magnetic permeability of vacuum $\mu_0 \neq 4\pi \times 10^{-7}$ S. I.

 $\mu_0 = 4\pi [1 + 2.0(2.3) \cdot 10^{-10}] \times 10^{-7}$ S.I.

Define quantities

- Times
- 🗆 Length
- Mass
- 💷 Electric charge

Fixed values

- □ Speed of light -> Define meter
- Planck constant -> Defines kg
- □ Charge of the electron

spintec



4407

Thank you for your attention !

www.spintec.fr | in email: olivier.fruchart@cea.fr

