Introduction to (Electron) Transport ESM-Cargese 2017

Laurent Ranno laurent.ranno@neel.cnrs.fr

Institut Néel - Université Grenoble-Alpes

15 octobre 2017



Modern electronics was created in 1947 when the transistor was invented in Bell laboratories by Bardeen, Shockley and Brattain (Nobel prize 1955).



With the possibility to integrate components on a single chip (Kilby 1958, also Nobel winner in 2000), it allows to densify circuits : integrated circuits (IC).



Recent microprocessors contain more than a billion transistors, transistor channel length decreasing from 45 nm to 32 nm to 22 nm, now(2014) 14 nm technology, next is 10 nm ... 5 nm? Semiconductor based electronics does not take the electron spin into account (only x2 in calculations).

When the spin of the electron is explicitly taken into account, it becomes an extra degree of freedom

Charge transport + spin = magnetic electronics = spintronics

New structures, New physics effects, Giant MagnetoResistance (Nobel prize 2007)



Albert Fert (Orsay) + Peter Grünberg (Jülich) Giant Magnetoresistance (discovery 1987-1988)

The famous application

Spin electronics (spintronics) at the nanoscale has allowed a revolution in recording consumer electronics (Hard Disk Drive).



Introduction to electron transport

Part 1 : Electron transport and spin transport

Part 2 : What happens at the nanoscale?

Introduction to electron transport

Part 1 : Electron transport and spin transport

Part 2 : What happens at the nanoscale?

- Ohm's law (classical)
- Boltzmann equation (semi-classical)
- Temperature dependence
- Field dependence

In a conducting material (silicon, copper) :



Average time between Collisions : τ (s) Momentum acquired during τ is $qE\tau$ Average momentum of carriers $p = qE\tau$ classical mechanics $\vec{p} = m\vec{v} = q\vec{E}\tau$ So the drift velocity $\vec{v} = \frac{q\vec{E}\tau}{m}$ The current $\vec{j} = qn\vec{v} = \frac{q^2n\tau}{m}\vec{E}$

The current is proportional to the applied electric field $\vec{j} = \sigma \vec{E}$ Ohm's law

$$\vec{j}=\sigma\vec{E}$$

 σ is the conductivity (in Siemens per meter (S/m))

Its inverse $\rho = 1/\sigma$ is the resistivity in Ohm.meter(Ω .m)

 $\sigma = \frac{q^2 n\tau}{m}$

High conductivity means : large density of carriers long collision time small carrier mass it does not depend on the sign of the charge

$$\vec{j} = \sigma \vec{E} = q.n.\vec{v}$$

One defines the mobility μ : $\vec{v} = \mu \vec{E}$

$$\mu = rac{\sigma}{nq} = rac{q au}{m} (\mathsf{m}^2/\mathsf{Vs})$$

Example : Numbers for Cu

Copper :



Example : Numbers for Cu



Assuming one conduction electron per atom Density of carriers : 8.47 10^{28} /m³

electron charge : -1.6 10^{-19} C electron mass : 9.11 10^{-31} kg

resistivity at 300 K : 1.7 $\mu\Omega.cm$

mobility at 300 K : 43 cm²/Vs collision time = 2.4 10^{-14} s drift velocity (E=1 V/mm)= 4.3 m/s

Density of carriers



In semiconductors, since $\rho \propto 1/n$ (assuming τ is constant), doping allows n and ρ to span 7 orders of magnitude low carrier density can be modified by an electrical field Field effect transistor MOSFET, p-n junction (diode), Ohm's law does not hold anymore The classical image of the carriers is rapidly unable to explain transport phenomena :

- gap, bands (insulators / semiconductors / metals)
- - (effective) mass different from electron mass (high mobility semicond., heavy fermions)
- - spin ...

Electron is a fermion and there are correlation effects (not free electrons). It is more correct to use quantum mechanics in these solid state materials (and it becomes a bit more complicated !)

Lets add some QM (but not too much).

Electrons are fermions so they follow Pauli principle and abide by the Fermi-Dirac statistics

$$f(E) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\frac{E - E_F}{kT}}}$$

Fermi-Dirac statistics



(Out of equilibrium (non thermal) transport is possible in extreme cases : one talks about hot electron injection)

Electron transport happens in a more or less periodic atomic lattice



Such a band structure leads to a first definition of metals and insulators

Metals have a finite density of states at the Fermi level For insulators, the Fermi energy is in a band gap, so no carriers at 0 Kelvin

Effective mass



The effect of interactions can be represented by free electrons with an effective mass. $E = E_0 + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m^*} \text{ i.e. } m^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial k^2}}$ 4s electrons are light (free, delocalised), 3d electrons are heavier (more localised) The classical electron has a velocity $\frac{mv^2}{2} = \frac{3}{2}kT$ for Cu it gives v=1.1 10⁵ m/s

Since the kinetic energy is not anymore kT but E_F ,

$$E = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} = E_F$$

a quantum electron has a velocity $v_F = \frac{\hbar k_F}{m} = 10^6 \text{ m/s}$ The distance between scattering events is the mean free path λ For Cu at 300 K : $v_F = 10^6 \text{ m/s}$ and $\tau = 2 \ 10^{-14} \text{s}$ gives $\lambda = 20 \text{ nm}$ Electrons should be treated as interacting particles : - not the free electron mass but an effective mass Density should be taken from band structure calculations Carriers could be holes Drude \rightarrow Semi-classical model How to proceed when an electric field is applied? Considering $f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t)$ to be the distribution of electrons = probability to find one electron at \vec{r} with velocity \vec{v} at time t

 $\begin{aligned} f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t) &= f_0 + g(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t) \\ \text{with } f_0(\vec{r}, \vec{v}) \text{ the stationary distribution (no } \vec{E}) \\ \text{Without collisions : } f(\vec{r} + \vec{v}dt, \vec{v} + \frac{\vec{F}}{m}dt, t + dt) = f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t) \\ \text{i.e. } df=0 \\ \text{i.e. } \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{r}} + \frac{\vec{F}}{m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{v}} = 0 \\ \text{With collisions : } \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{r}} + \frac{\vec{F}}{m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{v}} = (\frac{\partial f}{\partial t})_{coll} \end{aligned}$

 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{r}} + \frac{\vec{F}}{m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{v}} = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)_{coll}$ Relaxation time approximation : $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)_{coll} = -\frac{g}{\tau}$ τ is the relaxation time

If the electrical field goes to zero, the distribution comes back to equilibrium with characteristic time τ .

Looking for σ Applying an electric field along ${\rm x}$

$$j_x = \sigma E_x = q \int v_x f(v) dv = q \int v_x g(v) dv$$

Using $\frac{-g}{\tau} = \frac{q\vec{E}}{m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{v}}$ one gets

$$\sigma = \frac{-q^2}{4\pi^3} \int v\tau \frac{\partial f}{\partial E} d^3k$$

$$\sigma = \frac{q^2}{12\pi^3\hbar} < \lambda > S_F$$

 $<\lambda>$ is the average mean free path on the Fermi surface

Free electron band : $E = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}$ Fermi surface is a sphere

Density of states in k-space = $\frac{2V}{(2\pi)^3}$

$$N = \frac{4\pi k_F^3}{3} \cdot \frac{2V}{(2\pi)^3}$$

 $S_F = 4\pi k_F^2$

$$k_F = (3n\pi^2)^{1/3}$$

 $\sigma = \frac{e^2 n\tau}{m}$ it is Drude's result

Mean free path

 $\lambda = \mathbf{v}\tau$

To be compared to characteristic lenghtscales

- \rightarrow :
 - $\lambda \approx$ lattice parameter a : electron localisation (insulation character)
 - \rightarrow hopping transport (thermally activated)



 $\lambda = \mathbf{v}\tau$

To be compared to characteristic lenghtscales

 \rightarrow :

- $\lambda \approx$ lattice parameter a : electron localisation (insulation character)
- $a < \lambda <$ sample size : diffusive regime
- λ > sample size : ballistic behaviour (full quantum treatment required, including contacts)

 λ can be limited by the sample surface contribution : Fuchs Sondheimer correction for finite size.

g(r,v) depends on z (non uniform)

Thin films resistivity is larger than bulk resistivity

Several microscopic scattering events may happen in a conductor : Assuming the scattering rates are independent and using Drude's $\sigma = \frac{q^2 n \tau}{m}$ one gets : Matthiesen rule : $\frac{1}{\tau} = \sum \frac{1}{\tau_i}$

One adds the resistivities due to the different scattering mechanisms :

 $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3$

Microscopic relaxation mechanisms

In a periodic potential, a plane wave is a solution : the conductivity is infinite

But the sample is never periodic (=mathematically periodic) Temperature-independent scattering :

defects, impurities, surface-interface

Temperature-dependent scattering :

lattice excitations (phonons) magnetic excitations (spin waves = magnons) electron-electron collisions Scaterring can be elastic (E conserved) or inelastic The relaxation time for wavevector \vec{k} is different from the relaxation time for spin

 \rightarrow spin-flip and non spin-flip relaxation times

loffe-Regel limit (shortest mean free path for a metal)

 $<\lambda>=a$

with α electron per site and $1/a^3$ density of sites

$$\sigma = \frac{e^2 < \lambda >}{12\pi^3\hbar}S_F$$

and $S_F = 4\pi k_F^2$ with $n = \frac{\alpha}{a^3} = \frac{k_F^3}{3\pi^2}$
 $\sigma = 0.33\frac{\alpha^{2/3}e^2}{\hbar a}$

This gives a maximum resistivity for a metal : 100-300 $\mu\Omega.cm$

Conductivity : Amorphous Film



Temperature-dependence of an amorphous film Amorphous = Maximum atomic disorder The Fuchs-Sondheimer model takes into account the z dependence of the electron distribution in a thin film (thickness t).

$$-\frac{g}{\tau} = \frac{\hbar k_z}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} + \frac{q\vec{E}}{m} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \vec{v}}$$

Depending on the boundary conditions at the film interfaces :



Impurities contribution



The residual resistivity ratio RRR can be used to measure the purity of a sample

$$RRR = rac{
ho(300K)}{
ho(4.2K)}$$
Temperature independent defect contribution



Quality control of thin films Ordered / disordered alloys Mixed interface (multilayers) Annealing effect

Crystallographic contribution



Annealing effect Intermixing at the NiFe/Cu interfaces

Static defects (impurities, crystallographic) do not depend on T Lattice vibrations (phonons)do The number of phonons in a mode varies as $n(\hbar\omega) = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{\hbar\omega}{kT}}-1}$ The density of modes $D(\omega) = \frac{V\omega^2}{2\pi^2 c_s^3}$ (Debye model) The total number of phonons $/\text{m}^3$ is $< n >= \frac{3}{2\pi^2 c_s^3} \int_0^{\omega_D} \frac{\omega^2}{e^{\frac{\hbar\omega}{kT}}-1}$ When $T \ll \theta_D$, $< n > \propto T^3$ When $T \gg \theta_D$, $< n > \propto T$

Phonon Contribution



 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{R}(\mathsf{T}) \text{ is linear at high temperature and } \propto \mathsf{T}^3 \text{ at low temperature} \\ \rightarrow \text{ metallic resistance can be used as temperature sensor} \\ & (\text{platinum } \mathsf{Pt}_{100} \text{ and } \mathsf{Pt}_{1000}) \end{array}$

Magnetic contribution

For magnetic materials, magnetic excitations also cause scattering spin waves are similar to lattice vibrations but :

 $\mathsf{E}{=}\hbar\omega=Dq^2$ for spin waves and $\mathsf{E}{=}\hbar\omega=Aq$ for phonons

Example : At the Curie temperature, ferromagnetic order disappears.

The magnetic susceptibility diverges at Tc, magnetic fluctuations diverge.



Phase transition



 $Fe_{50}Rh_{50}$ antiferromagnetic to ferromagnetic transition can be evidenced on the R(T) curve

AF period is twice the F period in real space, half in k-space. An half-filled band may split.

(For measurement : No need to apply some magnetic field to study a magnetic transition)

Material	Cu	Ni	Au	Pt
Resistivity 300 K $(10^{-8}\Omega.m)$	1.7	7	2.2	10

Ni $3d^94s^1 = Cu$ minus 1 electron Pt $5d^96s^1$,Au $5d^{10}4s^1$

How to measure a Resistance?



$$R = \rho \frac{length}{width.thickness}$$

2 wire measurement includes the cable resistance and the contact resistance



Van der Pauw protocol



if the resistivity is homogeneous if the sample is simply connex if the 4 contacts are small and on the edge

$$\mathrm{e}^{-rac{\pi R_1}{R_{\Box}}}+\mathrm{e}^{-rac{\pi R_2}{R_{\Box}}}=1$$

 $R_{\Box} = \frac{\rho}{thickness}$ is the resistance per square or sheet resistance Similar trick for Hall effect (spinning current protocol).

Introduction to electron transport

Part 1 : Electron transport and spin transport - Magn. field effects

Part 2 : What happens at the nanoscale?

Electron trajectories under an applied magnetic field will become helicoïdal

classical Lorentz force : $ec{f} = qec{E} + qec{v}\wedgeec{B}$

longer trajectories to go from A to B \rightarrow increased resistivity Metals obey Kohler's scaling :

$$\frac{\Delta\rho}{\rho} = f(\omega_c \tau)$$

and $\omega_c = \frac{qB}{m}$ (cyclotron pulsation) so $\frac{\Delta\rho}{\rho} = f(\frac{B}{\rho_0})$ ρ_0 is the resistivity at B=0 large effect when the resistivity is small (single crystal at low T) cyclotron magnetoresistance It is most often a B^2 law and $\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}=0.1\%$ in 1 Tesla at usual metals at room temperature



The cyclotron MR and thermometry : Pt sensor

At low temp, magnetoresistance has to be taken into account

Ferromagnetic metals



Magnetic conductors



Anisotropic Magnetoresistance (volume effect)



Phenomelogical angle dependence :

$$\rho = \rho_{\perp} + (\rho_{//} - \rho_{\perp})\cos^2(\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{M})$$
(1)

Value : a few percents in FeNi



example of AMR (LaSrMnO manganite epitaxial film)

Anisotropic Magnetoresistance

<u>Planar Hall effect = AMR</u>





There is a transverse E-field \Rightarrow similar to Hall

Anisotropic Magnetoresistance

Physical Origin :



FIG. 1. The ferromagnetic anisotropy of resistivity $\Delta \rho / \rho_0 = (\rho_{11} - \rho_1) / \rho_0$ in polycrystalline fcc nickel alloys at low temperature.

⁴ L. Berger, Physica **30**, 1141 (1964); Phys. Rev. **138**, A1083 (1965). Measurements



FIG. 3. Calculated and experimental AMR ratios of Ni_{1-c}Fe_c alloys. Full circles: present work, full squares: calculations of Ref. 8, up-triangles: experiment (Ref. 3), down triangles: experiment (Refs. 5 and 6). The solid lines serve as a guide for eyes.

Ab-initio Calculations

$$\frac{\Delta\rho}{\rho} = (\frac{\lambda_{\textit{spin-orbit}}\hbar^2}{\Delta E})^2$$

Spin-orbit interaction $\lambda_{SO} \vec{LS}$ mixes 3d up and down states, nearly (ΔE degenerate at E_E.

Laurent Ranno laurent.ranno@neel.cnrs.fr Introduction to (Electron) TransportESM-Cargese 2017

Field-effect : Hall



$$\vec{f} = q\vec{E} + q\vec{v}\wedge\vec{B}$$

Normal(ordinary) Hall effect $V_H = R_H I B_z$ $R_H = \frac{1}{n.q}$ If you know n : magnetic field sensor If you know B_z : doping characterisation

Field-effect : EHE

In a ferromagnetic sample, a new contribution to Hall effect appears



Extraordinary Hall effect $V_H = R_e I M_z$ Also called Anomalous Hall effect

Due to spin-orbit coupling, scattering of carriers on magnetic moments is not left-right symmetric

EHE : mechanisms





EHE can be used as a magnetometry tool



Field-effect : EHE



Introduction to electron transport

Part 1 : Electron transport and spin transport

Part 2 : What happens at the nanoscale?

Giant Magnetoresistance



Magnetoresistance : GMR

Giant magnetoresistance





(current perpendicular to plane)

lengthscales :

≻ CIP

> CPP

current-in-plane : mean free path

current-perpendicular to plane : spin diffusion length

Mott 1930 If spin flip can be neglected, the total current is the sum of the current carried by \uparrow and \downarrow The material is equivalent to 2 resistors in parallel

$$\frac{1}{\rho} = \frac{1}{\rho_{\uparrow}} + \frac{1}{\rho_{\downarrow}}$$

Two-current model



The 4s electrons are lighter than the 3d ones The 4s electrons are mainly responsible for carrying the charge current

In a strong ferromagnet like Ni, at E_F there are $4s_{\uparrow}$ and $4s_{\downarrow}$ electrons and only $3d_{\downarrow}$ ones. No possibility for $4s_{\uparrow}$ - $3d_{\uparrow}$ scattering Mean free path λ_{\uparrow} is longer than mean free path λ_{\downarrow} Mean free path λ_{\uparrow} is longer than mean free path λ_{\downarrow} Example : Cobalt : λ_{\uparrow} =10 nm and λ_{\downarrow} =1 nm Introducing $\alpha = \frac{\rho_{\uparrow}}{\rho_{\downarrow}}$ the bulk resistivity assymetry Vocabulary Magnetisation \uparrow / magnetisation \downarrow Defines the quantification axis In quantum mechanics the magnetic moment is opposite to the angular momentum orbital angular momentum : $\vec{\sigma}_I = \vec{r} \wedge \vec{p}$ (or $L = \frac{\hbar}{7} \wedge \vec{\nabla}$) and magnetic (orbital) moment $\vec{\mu}_{orb} = -\frac{e}{2m}\vec{\sigma}_I$ Spin \uparrow / spin \downarrow carriers in transport, spin \uparrow is (wrongly) said to be parallel to magnetic moment 1

Majority/minority carriers

In a magnetic multilayer, the magnetisation may vary (antiparallel configuration)

A spin \uparrow electron may be majority carrier in one layer and minority carrier in the next one.
GMR Resistor model



GMR current-in-plane

2-current-model applied to the multilayer spin-dependent Boltzmann eq. (similar to Fuchs-Sondheimer treatment (thickness dependence))

$$-\frac{g\uparrow}{\tau\uparrow} = \frac{\hbar k_z\uparrow}{m\uparrow} \cdot \frac{\partial g\uparrow}{\partial z} + \frac{q\vec{E}}{m\uparrow} \frac{\partial g\uparrow}{\partial \vec{v}\uparrow}$$
$$-\frac{g\downarrow}{\tau\downarrow} = \frac{\hbar k_z\downarrow}{m\downarrow} \cdot \frac{\partial g\downarrow}{\partial z} + \frac{q\vec{E}}{m\downarrow} \frac{\partial g\downarrow}{\partial \vec{v}\downarrow}$$

The boundaries conditions are :

Spin dependent reflection/transmission/diffusion at each interface

A lot of material parameters required to calculate cip-GMR : systematic study as a function of all thicknesses

or use litterature values (same crystallinity, texture, interface roughness ...)

ingredients for Valet-Fert model (1993) Spin-dependent electrochemical potential μ_{\uparrow} Spin-dependent currents $\vec{j} \uparrow = \sigma \uparrow \frac{\partial \mu \uparrow}{\partial z}$ In a bulk : $\mu = \mu \uparrow = \mu \downarrow = E_F + q$. Potential Far from the spacer : Polarised charge current Need for spin-flip near the spacer region In a non magnetic metal most scattering events do not flip the spin of the electrons

Scattering on a magnetic impurities or absorption/emission of a magnetic excitation (magnon) can flip the spin.

Spin-flip scattering is an inelastic event

 \Rightarrow vanishingly small at low temperature, not common at higher T (1 event out of 1000 in a non magnetic metal), 5 nm in a ferromagnetic metal

$$D\frac{\partial^2 \Delta \mu_i}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\Delta \mu_i}{\tau sf}$$

at the interface between 2 conductors, the spin polarisation of the current cannot change discontinuously.

$$I_{sf} = \sqrt{\frac{v_F \tau_{sf} \lambda}{3}}$$

(proof : $l_{sf} = \sqrt{\frac{N}{3}}\lambda$ random walk and $\tau_{sf} = N.\tau$) Close to the interface (lenghtscale l_{sf}), an out-of-equilibrium spin population exists :

spin accumulation effect

spin injection from a ferromagnetic electrode to a semiconductor
(Datta-Das transistor)

Magnetoresistance : GMR



How to use this Giant Magnetoresistance effect : Room temperature, smaller fields



Interlayer coupling : RKKY

$$J = \frac{\cos(2.k_F.r)}{r^3}$$

oscillating coupling through a metallic spacer. lengthscale k_F finite, discrete thickness effect, period becomes a few monolayers.



Use also to create artifical antiferromagnet : Co/Ru/Co Now, difference in coercive fields or exchange bias is prefered to pin one of the layer and keep the other one free.



Exchange bias



It increases the coercive field (coercivity enhancement) It biases the ferromagnetic layer if the system has been cooled under field

Magnetoresistance : Spin Valve



Pinning of one layer using a FeMn antiferromagnetic layer (exchange bias)

Interlayer coupling : Orange peel



Coupling may still exit in "uncoupled" system orange peel=magnetostatic, pinholes

Tunnel Effect



A thin insulating layer (Al₂O₃, MgO) is inserted between 2 metallic electrodes

Classical transport can not happen if the electron energy is smaller than the barrier height.

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \mid \psi > + V(x) \mid \psi > = E \mid \psi > \text{Schroedinger 1D}$$

Quantum mechanics allows for propagation of an evanescent wave inside the barrier. If the barrier is thin enough, the probability to tunnel through the barrier is non zero.

Magnetic Tunnel Resistance



When electrodes are ferromagnetic, tunnneling probabilities depend on the spin-dependent density of states at E_F

So on the relative magnetic configuration : parallel /antiparallel

Magnetoresistance : TMR



$$TMR(Julliere) = \frac{R_{antiparallel} - R_{parallel}}{R_{parallel}} = \frac{2P_1P_2}{1 - P_1P_2}$$
(2)

Magnetoresistance : Barrier quality



Junction resistance should scale as $\frac{1}{S}$ Difficult to obtain since any thickness fluctuation, pinhole will short-circuit the barrier One uses the Area Resistance $RA = R_{junction}.S$ It depends mainly on the barrier (thickness,height)

Magnetoresistance : Barrier quality



Annealing can repair (improve) the barrier quality



For example, Oxygen diffusion out of the barrier is cured

Magnetoresistance : Barrier quality



The barrier can be amorphous (Al2O3) but a crystalline barrier (MgO) will bring new effects selective tunnelling according to symmetry of the wavefunction \rightarrow spin filtering

Magnetoresistance : TMR spin filtering



electron wavefunction's propagation through the tunnel barrier depends on symmetry

Magnetoresistance : Giant TMR



TMR ratio larger than 100 % can be achieved using epitaxial Fe/MgO/Fe (Nancy group) MBE(epitaxial) or sputtering thick barrier (2-3 nm) Use as a memory element or sensor

Magnetoresistance : MRAM



 \Rightarrow reduces H_c , helps select cell

third generation : no more field lines : spin torque assisted

what is Spin torque ? GMR = effect of magnetic configuration on currents Spin Torque = effect of currents on magnetic configuration



Spin torque



FIG. 4. XMCDPEEM images of two domain walls in adjacent lines after consecutive pulse injections with $j=8.7 \times 10^{11} \text{ A/m}^2$. Top wall: (a I) vortex wall after remagnetization which transforms to a double vortex (a II) and back (a III) during consecutive injections. Bottom wall: (b I) a vortex wall that transforms to a triple vortex (b II) and back to a double vortex spin structure (b III). (c) High resolution image of the spin structure of a triple vortex wall, (d) High resolution spin structure of the double vortex all with two vortices that have opposite sense of rotation.

Domain wall propagation without applied field Magnetisation reversal of a nanoparticle Spin torque oscillator (current-tunable GHz emission)



Magnetic reversal of a patterned electrode

Landau-Lifschitz-Gilbert equation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{M}}{dt} = \gamma \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{H}_{eff} + \alpha \mathbf{M} \times (\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{H}_{eff}) + SpinTorque \qquad (3)$$

 γ the gyromagnetic ratio α the damping constant H_{eff} the effective field :

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{eff} = -rac{1}{\mu_0}rac{\partial E}{\partial \boldsymbol{M}}$$

The effective field includes contributions from the applied field (Zeeman energy), the demagnetizing field (shape anisotropy), magnetocrystalline and exchange energies.

The STT may induce an antidamping (STT oscillator)



Side view

Spin current $J_s = \theta_{SHE} J_c$ Pt and Ta : Injection with Opposite Spin Signs

Voltage control of magnetisation reversal



Sputtering Pt/Co/AIOx ALD dielectrics + ITO Sputtering (Institut Néel, see Bernand-Mantel et al.)