Fields, Units, Magnetostatics

European School on Magnetism Laurent Ranno (laurent.ranno@neel.cnrs.fr)

Institut Néel CNRS-Université Grenoble Alpes

10 octobre 2017

European School on Magnetism Laurent Ranno (laurent.ranno Fields, Units, Magnetostatics

Magnetism is around us and magnetic materials are widely used

- Magnet Attraction (coins, fridge)
- Contactless Force (hand)
- Repulsive Force : Levitation
- Magnetic Energy Mechanical Energy (Magnetic Gun)
- Magnetic Energy Electrical Energy (Induction)
- Magnetic Liquids
- A device full of magnetic materials : the Hard Disk drive

reminders



- How to describe Magnetic Matter?
- How Magnetic Materials impact field maps, forces?
- How to model them?
- Here macroscopic, continous model
- Next lectures :

Atomic magnetism, microscopic details (exchange mechanisms, spin-orbit, crystal field ...)

Up to 1820, magnetism and electricity were two subjects not experimentally connected



H.C. Oersted experiment (1820 - Copenhagen)

Looking for a mathematical expression Fields and forces created by an electrical circuit (C1, I)



$$ec{dB}(M) = rac{\mu_0 I \, ec{dI} \wedge ec{u}}{4\pi r^2}$$

 \vec{B} is the magnetic induction field \vec{B} is a long-range vector field $(\frac{1}{r^2}$ becomes $\frac{1}{r^3}$ for a closed circuit).



What is the Force between 2 parallel wires carrying the same current I : attractive/repulsive? definition for Ampère :

1 A if 2 parallel wires 1m apart and force is $f=2 \ 10^{-7} N/m$.

Magnetostatics : Motor

Origin of the electric-mechanical transducer = motors (linear and rotary motors)



Synchronous Motor (dc current rotor, ac current stator). Downsizing, Mechanical Torque, Energy Yield, Move to permanent magnet rotors.

$$\vec{dF}(M) = I'\vec{dI'} \wedge \vec{B}(M)$$

Using SI units :

Force FNewton(N)IntensityAmpère (A)Magnetic Induction BTesla (T)

so 1 T = 1 NA⁻¹m⁻¹ and $\mu_o = 4\pi 10^{-7}$ NA⁻² exact value

European School on Magnetism Laurent Ranno (laurent.ranno Fields, Units, Magnetostatics

Magnetic Induction \vec{B}

Some magnetic induction \vec{B} properties



$$\iint_{S} \vec{B} \cdot \vec{dS} = 0$$

 \vec{B} flux is conservative B lines never stop (closed B loops)!

B flux is conserved. It is a relevant quantity with a name : $Wb(Weber) = T.m^2$

(B-field is sometimes called the magnetic flux density)

 \vec{B} flux conservation is equivalent to one of the local Maxwell equation :

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$$

 $ec{B}$ can be derived from a vector potential $ec{A}$ so that $ec{B}=ec{
abla} imesec{A}$

For the preceding circuit :

$$\vec{A} = \frac{\mu_0 I}{4\pi} \int_{(C1)} \frac{\vec{dI}}{r}$$

applying the curl operator one comes back to \vec{B} Note : \vec{A} is not unique. $\vec{A}(\vec{r}) + \text{grad}\phi(\vec{r})$ is also solution A gauge can be chosen (i.e. $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = 0$, Coulomb gauge)

This is equivalent to the role of the electric potential V in electrostatics with $\vec{E} = -g\vec{rad}V$ (numerical simulation interest)

Magnetostatics : B is an pseudo-vector

Mirror symmetry for a current loop :



 \vec{B} is NOT time-reversal invariant, unlike electrostatics.

Magnetostatics : Ampere 's theorem



Ampere Theorem

$$\int_{(\Gamma)}ec{B}\cdotec{dl}=\mu_0 l$$
 no magnet

Note : with magnetic materials it becomes : $\int_{(\Gamma)} \vec{H} \cdot \vec{dl} = I$

Similar to \vec{B} flux conservation Ampère theorem has a local equivalent (Maxwell)

 $\vec{\nabla}\times\vec{B}=\mu_0\vec{j}$

where \vec{j} is the volume current density (A/m²!)

Magnetostatics : Application Ampere theorem

Application to the infinite straight wire

$$\int_{(\Gamma)} \vec{B} \cdot \vec{dl} = \mu_0 l$$

$$\vec{I} \qquad \vec{B}(\mathbf{r}, \theta, \mathbf{z})$$

$$\vec{B} = \vec{B}_r + \vec{B}_{\theta} + \vec{B}_z$$

$$\vec{B} = \frac{\mu_0 I}{2\pi r} \vec{u_{\theta}}$$

European School on Magnetism Laurent Ranno (laurent.ranno@ Fields, Units, Magnetostatics

Current Carrying Loop Magnetic Moment



Circular Loop (radius R), carrying current I, oriented surface \vec{S} Its magnetic moment is $\vec{m} = \vec{S} \cdot I$ unit A.m²

Magnetostatics : Dipolar Approximation



$$\vec{B} = rac{\mu_0}{4\pi r^3} (2mcos heta \vec{u}_r + msin heta \vec{u}_ heta)$$

$$ec{B}=rac{\mu_0}{4\pi r^3}(2mcos hetaec{u}_r+msin hetaec{u}_ heta)$$

can also be written along \vec{r} and \vec{m} :

$$ec{B} = rac{\mu_0}{4\pi} (rac{3(ec{m}\cdotec{r})ec{r}}{r^5} - rac{ec{m}}{r^3})$$

Earth Field = Dipolar Field (good approximation).

Magnetostatics : Earth Field



online model : www.ngdc.noaa.gov

Magnetostatics : Earth Field



The magnetic pole moves up toward Russia. Presently (86°N, 159°W), its speed is 55 km/year to N-NW.

The magnetic dipolar field is equivalent to the electric dipolar field One defines an electric dipole $\vec{p} = q\vec{l}$ and

$$ec{E} = rac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^3} (2 p cos heta ec{u}_r + p sin heta ec{u}_ heta)$$

For an elementary loop \vec{m} is the loop magnetic dipole .

Magnetostatics : Field lines



Fields around an electric dipole and a magnetic dipole

Reciprocity Theorem

How to optimise the signal sensed by a coil close to the sample?



$$\vec{m} = I_2.\vec{S_2}$$

Signal = flux of induction created by sample \vec{m} through C_1

$$\phi_{21} = \vec{B}_2(1).\vec{S}_1$$

Mutual inductance M_{12} equals M_{21}

European School on Magnetism Laurent Ranno (laurent.ranno@ Fields, Units, Magnetostatics

Reciprocity Theorem



$$\phi_{21} = ec{B_2}(1).ec{S_1}$$

 $\phi_{21} = M.I_2$ et $\phi_{12} = M.I_1$

$$\phi_{21} = \phi_{12} \cdot I_2 / I_1 = \vec{B}_1(2) \cdot \vec{S}_2 \cdot I_2 / I_1 = \vec{B}_1(2) \cdot \vec{m} / I_1$$

The sample \vec{m} creates a B-flux in the detection coil equal to the scalar product \vec{m} and \vec{B} at \vec{m} assuming 1 A in the detection coil.

Experimental Facts :

So-called magnetic materials produce effects similar to the ones created by electric circuits.



Iron filings + magnet equivalent to Iron filing (or compass) and solenoid

A magnetic material will be modeled as a set of magnetic dipoles.

$$\Delta \vec{m} = \sum_i \vec{m_i}$$

Magnetisation \vec{M} is the magnetic moment per unit volume :

$$\vec{M} = rac{\Delta \vec{m}}{\Delta V}$$

Average over 1 nm to smoothen the atomic contributions (continuous model).

Magnetic Moment
$$\vec{m} = I \cdot \vec{S}$$
unit is $A \cdot m^2$ Magnetisation $M = \frac{\Delta m}{\Delta V}$ unit is $A \cdot m^{-1}$

Summing all atomic dipole contributions :

- OK for atomistic model AND small volume. For large sample OR continuous model
- Equivalent Current Distribution Amperian Approach for magnetisation.
- Equivalent Charge Distribution Coulombian Approach for magnetisation.

When $\vec{M} = \vec{M}(\vec{r})$, determining \vec{B} field \vec{A} vector field everywhere is mathematically equivalent to a magnetostatics w/o magnets problem, where beside the real currents ones adds :

volume current density due to M : $\vec{j}_V = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{M}$

and a surface current density due to M $\vec{j}_S = \vec{M} \times \vec{n}$ (uniform M, no volume current)

Proof for Amperian approach

From Biot-Savart :

$$\vec{A} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{\vec{m} \times \vec{u}}{r^2}$$
$$\vec{A}(Q) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \iiint_{(\mathfrak{D})} \frac{\vec{M}(P) \times \vec{u}}{r^2} dv$$
$$\vec{A}(Q) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \iiint_{(\mathfrak{D})} \vec{M}(P) \times \text{grad}_P(\frac{1}{r}) dv$$

Since we have $\vec{
abla} imes (f \cdot \vec{g}) = f \cdot \vec{
abla} imes \vec{g} + \mathsf{grad} f imes \vec{g}$ thnn

$$\vec{A}(Q) = -\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \iiint_{(\mathfrak{D})} \vec{\nabla} \times (\frac{\vec{M}(P)}{r}) \, \mathrm{d}v + \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \iiint_{(\mathfrak{D})} \frac{\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{M}}{r} \, \mathrm{d}v$$

Since

$$\iiint_{(V)} \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{g} \, \mathrm{d}v = \iint_{(S)} \vec{n} \times \vec{g} \, \mathrm{d}S$$
$$\vec{A}(Q) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \iint_{(\mathfrak{S})} \frac{\vec{M} \times \vec{n}}{r} \, \mathrm{d}S + \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \iiint_{\mathfrak{D}} \frac{\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{M}}{r} \, \mathrm{d}v$$

European School on Magnetism Laurent Ranno (laurent.ranno Fields, Units, Magnetostatics

Magnetostatics in Matter : Amperian Approach

A uniformly magnetised cylindrical magnet is equivalent to?

Magnetostatics : Magnet - Solenoïd



Magnetostatics : Magnetic Field H

In vacuum : $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{j}$

When Magnetic material is present : $\vec{j} = \vec{j_0} + \vec{j_v}$ with $\vec{j_0}$ the real current density and $\vec{j_v} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{M}$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{j_0} + \mu_0 \vec{j_v}$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} \times (\frac{\vec{B}}{\mu_0} - \vec{M}) = \vec{j}_0$$

One defines $\vec{H} = \frac{\vec{B}}{\mu_0} - \vec{M}$ one gets : $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H} = \vec{j_0}$ With equation $\vec{B} = \mu_0 (\vec{H} + \vec{M})$ \vec{H} is named Magnetic Field $\vec{B} = \mu_0 (\vec{H} + \vec{M})$

- Replacing \vec{M} by $\vec{j_s}$, $\vec{J_v}$ allows to calculate \vec{B} everywhere
- In the absence of $\vec{j_0}$ $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H} = \vec{0}$, whatever \vec{M} looks like $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = \vec{0}$ for electrostatics

A magnetic scalar potential ϕ can be introduced : $\vec{H} = -g\vec{rad}\phi$ Good for calculations

- There is no magnetic charge.
- No magnetic monopole
- Using an electrostatic analogy, magnetic matter is represented by a distribution of virtual magnetic charges, which allows to calculate the H-field created by magnetisation.
Magnetostatics : Coulomb Point of View

2nd point of view : Coulomb Analogy



Magnetostatics Electrostatics magnetic dipole Electric dipole

$$\vec{m} = I \cdot \vec{S} = q_m \cdot \vec{l} \quad \vec{p} = q \cdot \vec{l} \vec{H}_m = -g\vec{r}adV_m \quad \vec{E} = -g\vec{r}adV \vec{H}_m = \frac{-1}{4\pi}g\vec{r}ad\frac{\vec{m}\cdot\vec{u}}{r^2} \quad \vec{E} = \frac{-1}{4\pi\epsilon_0}g\vec{r}ad\frac{\vec{p}\cdot\vec{u}}{r^2} V_m = \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\vec{m}\cdot\vec{u}}{r^2} \quad V = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{\vec{p}\cdot\vec{u}}{r^2}$$

magnetic charges are called also magnetic poles or magnetic masses

Magnetostatics : Coulombian approach

 \vec{H} created by a magnetic charge q_m is :

$$\vec{H} = rac{1}{4\pi} rac{q_m}{r^2} \vec{u}$$
 avec $\vec{u} = rac{\vec{r}}{|\vec{r}|}$



Magnetostatics : Coulombian approach



the force between two magnetic charges :

$$\vec{f} = \mu_0 q'_m \vec{H} = rac{1}{4\pi} rac{q'_m q_m}{r^2} \vec{u}$$

European School on Magnetism Laurent Ranno (laurent.ranno@ Fields, Units, Magnetostatics

To get the mathematics right : \vec{H} created by $\vec{M}(\vec{r})$ is correct if we use :

Volume charge density $\rho = -\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{M}$ Surface charge density $\sigma = \vec{M} \cdot \vec{n}$ Amperian approach gives \vec{B} Coulombian gives \vec{H} . We use only one approach since $\vec{B} = \mu_0(\vec{H} + \vec{M})$ True Everywhere Please only use the S.I. system of units : M.K.S.A

In the past centuries, several subjects were developed independently and then several ways to rationalise units were proposed.

In magnetism, cgs units are still found (some modern equipment, litterature).

c.g.s : no μ_0 , no ϵ_0 . c and 4π appear in Maxwell equations.

c.g.s. and S.I. equivalent quantities do not always have the same dimension !

 $\vec{f}(Newton) = \frac{q_1q_2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2}$ is $\vec{f}(dyne) = \frac{q_1q_2}{r^2}$ Charge unit is directly related to mechanical units in cgs. Need for A in S.I. In c.g.s B and H have the same dimension and in vacuum the same numerical value.

1 Gauss (B) = 1 Oersted (H). It prevents their rapid disapearance!

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Conversion}: \\ 1 \mbox{Tesla} = 10 \mbox{ 000 Gauss} \\ \frac{10^3}{4\pi} \mbox{ A/m} = 1 \mbox{ Oersted} \end{array}$

$$m = I.S = 1cgsA.1cm^{2}$$

 $m = 10A.10^{-4}m^{2} = 10^{-3}A.m^{2}$

1000 emu = 1
$$A.m^2$$
 (1 e.m.u./g = 1 Am^2/kg)

cgs : $\vec{B} = \vec{H} + 4\pi \vec{M}$ cgs susceptibility is 4π larger The sum of the demag coefficient is not 1 but 4π in cgs Let s look at a cylindrical magnet with zero applied H-field



 \vec{H} inside the magnetic material is not zero, is antiparallel to \vec{M} \vec{H} is called the demagnetising field \vec{H}_d

$$\vec{H} = \vec{H}_0 + \vec{H}_d$$

Application to Material Characterisation : $\vec{M} = f(\vec{H})$ is a characteristic curve for a material. Most measurements give $\vec{M} = f(\vec{H_0})$ Mathematical result For an ellipsoïd, magnetised uniformly $(\vec{M}(\vec{r}) = \text{ constant}, \forall \vec{r})$ \vec{B} and $\vec{H_d}$ are uniform and :

$$\vec{H}_d = -[D]\vec{M}$$

[D] is the demagnetising coefficient tensor (named [N] in some texts) Choosing the symmetry axes, the tensor can be represented as a 3x3 matrix :

$$[D] = \begin{pmatrix} D_x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D_y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D_z \end{pmatrix}$$

and the following relation is true : The matrix trace is 1 i.e. $D_x + D_y + D_z = 1.$ For a sphere, $D_x = D_y = D_z = \frac{1}{3}$

For a very flat disk (axis Oz), $D_x = D_y = 0$ et $D_z = 1$

For an elongated wire, $D_x = D_y = \frac{1}{2}$ and $D_z = 0$

For a less symmetrical shape, an educated guess is to consider the ellipsoid with the same aspect ratio. However uniformly magnetised BUT not ellipsoidal shapes produce non uniform \vec{H}_d !

It is the time consuming step for micromagnetics.

For ellipsoïds, there are analytical expressions for Demag Coefficients.



Magnetic Behaviours

Magnetic behaviours under field : To characterise a material : $\vec{M} = f(\vec{H})$ or sometimes $\vec{M} = f(\vec{B})$ Usually the measurement gives M_z For anisotropic materials (films, single crystals) $\vec{M} = f(\vec{H})$ is measured along different crystallographic axes (see magnetic anisotropy lecture)



For linear responses (2 et 3) one can define $\vec{M} = \chi \vec{H}$. \vec{M} and \vec{H} are parallel. χ is the magnetic susceptibility . Unitless scalar in S.I. for an isotropic material.

Since
$$ec{B}=\mu_0(ec{H}+ec{M})$$

 $ec{B}=\mu_0(1+\chi)ec{H}=\muec{H}$

where $\mu = \mu_0(1 + \chi)$ is the permeability and $\mu_r = \frac{\mu}{\mu_0} = 1 + \chi$ the relative permeability $\chi > 0$ for paramagnetism $\chi < 0$ for diamagnetism χ ranges from -10^{-5} to 10^6 One measures : $M = \chi_0 H_0$ However $H = H_0 + H_d = H_0 - DM$ So : $M = \chi_0(H + D.M)$ Finally : $M = \frac{\chi_0}{1 - D\chi_0} H$ Or : $M = \frac{\chi}{1 + D\chi} H_0$ What happens for very soft materials? (χ_0 is limited to $\frac{1}{D}$, need for closed circuit (D=0) to measure large χ) For nonlinear materials (1) a differential susceptibility at a specific field \vec{H}_0 .

$$\chi = (\frac{dM}{dH})_{H_0}$$

in particular initial susceptibility χ_i

$$\chi_i = (\frac{dM}{dH})_{H_0=0}$$

and High field susceptibility (residual after saturation)

Permanent Magnets



For hysteretic materials (4) there is a remanent magnetisation. Family of permanent magnets B-lines are closed H-lines start from positive pseudo-charges and finish at negative pseudo-charges. H same as E For a linear, homogeneous isotropic material its permeability μ can be defined.

Interface between μ_1 and μ_2

Continuities of field components.



Field lines across interfaces



$$B_{n1}=B_{n2}$$
 so $\mu_1H_{n1}=\mu_2H_{n2}$

$$H_{t1} = H_{t2}$$
 so $\frac{\mu_1 H_{n1}}{H_{t1}} = \frac{\mu_2 H_{n2}}{H_{t2}}$

so $\mu_1 \tan \theta_1 = \mu_2 \tan \theta_2$

European School on Magnetism Laurent Ranno (laurent.ranno@ Fields, Units, Magnetostatics

Flux Guide



$$\mu_1 \tan \theta_1 = \mu_2 \tan \theta_2$$

If (2) very soft $(\mu_2 >> \mu_1)$ then tan θ_2 much smaller than tan θ_1 Field lines are parallel to interface in the soft It is the principle for Flux Guidance (soft iron cores).



Field Map for a U-shaped Magnet.

Flux Guidance

inserting a soft material (χ =10 ellipse)



Flux Guides



European School on Magnetism Laurent Ranno (laurent.ranno@ Fields, Units, Magnetostatics



Mu metal shielding for sensitive electronics. Available volume with residual smaller than 1 nanoTesla. Mumétal HiMu $80 = Ni \ 80$, Mo 5, Si 0.5, Cu 0.02, + Fe.

Energy for a fixed moment \vec{m} in applied field $\vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{H}$

$$W = -\vec{m} \cdot \vec{B}$$

Stable position?

Force on a magnetic moment Calculating on one elementary loop :

$$F_z = m \frac{\partial B_{0z}}{\partial z}$$
 for a loop m in applied field B_{0z}

More generally :

$$ec{F} = - \operatorname{grad} W = \operatorname{grad} (ec{m} \cdot ec{B_0})$$

Force created by a uniform field?

 \vec{m} in applied field $\vec{B_0}$ experiences a torque $\vec{\Gamma}$:



magnetic moments experience 2 sources of field :

- applied fields
- demagnetising fields

Both should be considered.

In applied field \vec{H}_0 one gets :

$$E_{zeeman} = -ec{M_0}\cdot \mu_0ec{H_0}V = -ec{M_0}\cdotec{B_0}V$$

$$E_{zeeman}/volume = -\vec{M_0}\cdot\mu_0\vec{H_0}$$

 \vec{H}_d created by the material :

$$E_d = -\frac{\mu_0}{2}\vec{M_0}\cdot\vec{H_d}$$

Do not forget the 1/2!!!

The volume magnetostatic Energy is the sum : Zeeman Energy + Demagnetising Energy.

$$E_m = -\frac{\mu_0}{2}\vec{M_0}\cdot\vec{H_d} - \mu_0\vec{M_0}\cdot\vec{H_0}$$

Calculating the Work to magnetise a sample Using a solenoid with constant current,


Magnetising Work



Since I is constant, if M varies then B-flux varies.

The current generator must work : $P = I.\frac{d\phi}{dt} = I\frac{NSdB}{dt} = \mu_0 INS\frac{dH+dM}{dt}$ $dW = (\mu_0 HdH + \mu_0 HdM)V$ The energy stored in the field is $\mu_0 H^2 V/2 = LI^2/2$ = the long solenoid inductance : $L = \mu_0 N^2 S/I$ The energy to magnetise the sample varies as $\mu_0 H.dM$



When the loop M(H) is not reversible, what represents its area?

The energy losses per loop.

Questions

Lunch time

European School on Magnetism Laurent Ranno (laurent.ranno@ Fields, Units, Magnetostatics