### Models in spintronics (Part II) OUTLINE :

#### Spin-dependent transport in magnetic tunnel junctions

- -Introduction to tunnel effect
- -magnetic tunnel junctions and tunnel MR
- -Julliere model
- -Slonczewski's model (free electron gas)

-Crystalline barrier: Spin-filtering according to symmetry of wave functions

#### Spin-transfer in non collinear magnetic configuration

-spin-torque term and effective field term

#### Spin-injection in semiconductors

#### **Magnetic tunnel junctions**



#### Giant TMR of MgO tunnel barriers

S.S.P.Parkin et al, Nature Mat. (2004), nmat1256. S.Yuasa et al, Nature Mat. (2004), nmat 1257.

Very well textured MgO barriers grown by sputtering or MBE on bcc CoFe or Fe magnetic electrodes, or on amorphous CoFeB electrodes followed by annealing to recrystallize the electrode.







« Models in spintronics » 2009 European School on Magnetism, Timisoara

# Tunnel magnetoresistance of 604% at 300 K by suppression of Ta diffusion in CoFeB/MgO/CoFeB pseudo-spin-valves annealed at high temperature

S. Ikeda,<sup>1,a)</sup> J. Hayakawa,<sup>2</sup> Y. Ashizawa,<sup>3,b)</sup> Y. M. Lee,<sup>1,c)</sup> K. Miura,<sup>1,2</sup> H. Hasegawa,<sup>1,2</sup> M. Tsunoda,<sup>3</sup> F. Matsukura,<sup>1</sup> and H. Ohno<sup>1,d)</sup>



Applied Physics Express 2 (2009) 083002

#### Large Tunnel Magnetoresistance of 1056% at Room Temperature

#### in MgO Based Double Barrier Magnetic Tunnel Junction

Lixian Jiang, Hiroshi Naganuma\*, Mikihiko Oogane, and Yasuo Ando

Department of Applied Physics, Graduate School of Engineering, Tohoku University, Aoba, Aramaki, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8579, Japan

Received June 29, 2009; accepted July 2, 2009; published online July 17, 2009

#### **Tunneling through magnetic insulators : spin filters**



### **Tunnel effect**

Quantum mechanical origin



A classical particle cannot enter the barrier zone if  $\epsilon_{F} < E_{0}$ 

In quantum mechanics, electrons obey Schrödinger equation (1D model):

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} |\psi\rangle + V(x) |\psi\rangle &= E |\psi\rangle \\ \hline \text{Off the barrier} & -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} |\psi\rangle = E |\psi\rangle & \to \text{ Plane waves} \\ |\psi\rangle &= e^{ikx} \qquad k = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2mE}{\hbar^2}} \\ \hline \text{In the barrier} & -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} |\psi\rangle = (E - E_0) |\psi\rangle & \to \text{ Evanescent waves} \\ |\psi\rangle &= e^{-qx} \qquad q = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2m\Delta E}{\hbar^2}} \end{aligned}$$

Tunneling through a simple rectangular barrier



Continuity of wave function and derivative through interfaces

$$t = \frac{4qe^{-qa}\sqrt{k_1}}{(ik_1 - q)(ik_2 - q)}$$

Probability of tunneling = t.t\*

$$P = tt^* = \frac{16q^2 e^{-2qa} k_1}{\left(q^2 + k_1^2\right)\left(q^2 + k_2^2\right)}$$

Typically,  $\Delta E \sim 1 eV$ , m~free electron  $\Rightarrow 1/q \sim 0.2 nm$ 

Tunnel barrier must be at most a few nm thick to get reasonable tunneling rate through it

Case of more general barrier



spintec

How to calculate electrical current through barrier?

At zero bias voltage, same current from left to right and right to left.



 $J_{e}(V=0)=0$ 

Need to apply a bias voltage, to create a dissymetry in tunneling current





The probability for an electron to tunnel through the barrier is (WKB):

$$P(E) = tt^* = e^{-2\int_0^x q(u)du}$$

Nb of electrons tunneling per unit time:

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \frac{4\pi m^2}{h^3} \int_0^E P(E_x) dE_x \int_0^\infty f(\vec{E}) dE_{//} \qquad Fermi-Dirac distribution f_0(\vec{r}, \vec{v}) = \frac{1}{\exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_F}{k_B T}\right) + 1}$$

**Electrical current:** 

$$J_{e} = e \left( \frac{dN_{1 \to 2}}{dt} - \frac{dN_{2 \to 1}}{dt} \right) = \frac{4e\pi m^{2}}{h^{3}} \int_{0}^{E} P(E_{x}) dE_{x} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[ f(E) - f(E + eV) \right] dE_{//}$$

Approximate expressions of J(V) in free electron model

from Simons (1963)

For low bias: still rectangular barrier

$$J = 3.16 \quad 10^{10} \sqrt{\phi_0} \frac{V}{s} e^{-1.02s \sqrt{\phi_0}}$$

For intermediate bias  $0 < V < \phi_0$ : trapezoïdal barrier

Conductance 7 when V 7

$$J = \frac{6.2 \ 10^{10}}{s^2} \left[ \left( \phi_0 - \frac{V}{2} \right) \exp \left( -1.025s \left( \phi_0 - \frac{V}{2} \right)^{1/2} \right) - \left( \phi_0 + \frac{V}{2} \right) \exp \left( -1.025s \left( \phi_0 + \frac{V}{2} \right)^{1/2} \right) \right]$$

For large bias V> $\phi_0$ : Fowler Nordheim Injection in conduction band





 $\Rightarrow$  Linear J(V) at low bias





Tunnel barrier of MgO

Barrier height:  $\varphi = 1.04 \text{ eV}$ **Barrier asymmetry:**  $\Delta \varphi = 0.20 \text{ eV}$ Barrier thickness: d=1.6 nm Effective mass:  $m_{\rm eff}/m_{\rm e} = \alpha = 0.4$ 

Dynamic conductance=dI/dV

T.Dimopoulos et al

pintec

#### Julliere model of TMR



 $\Rightarrow$  tunneling current in each spin channel

$$J^{\sigma} \propto D_1^{\sigma}(E_F) \times D_2^{\sigma}(E_F)$$

Parallel configurationAntiparallel configuration $J^{parallel} \propto D_1^{\uparrow} D_2^{\uparrow} + D_1^{\downarrow} D_2^{\downarrow}$  $J^{antiparallel} \propto D_1^{\uparrow} D_2^{\downarrow} + D_1^{\downarrow} D_2^{\uparrow}$  $P = \frac{D^{\uparrow}(E_F) - D^{\downarrow}(E_F)}{D^{\uparrow}(E_F) + D^{\downarrow}(E_F)}$  $TMR = \frac{\Delta R}{R_P} = \frac{2 P_1 P_2}{1 - P_1 P_2}$  $TMR = \frac{\Delta R}{R_{AP}} = \frac{2 P_1 P_2}{1 + P_1 P_2}$  $P = \frac{D^{\uparrow}(E_F) - D^{\downarrow}(E_F)}{D^{\uparrow}(E_F) + D^{\downarrow}(E_F)}$  $TMR = \frac{\Delta R}{R_P} = \frac{2 P_1 P_2}{1 - P_1 P_2}$  $TMR = \frac{\Delta R}{R_{AP}} = \frac{2 P_1 P_2}{1 + P_1 P_2}$ 

#### Spin polarization of 3d metals



Ferromagnetic electrodes





Model of band structure derived from M.B.Stearns JMMM 5, 167 (1977). Hybridization between s and d electrons. « Itinerant free electrons » ie free electrons (parabolic bands) but with band splitting.

Schrödinger equation solved for both spin channels assuming continuity of  $\psi$  and  $\frac{d\psi}{dx}$  through the interfaces

For each spin channel:

$$J^{\sigma\sigma'} = \frac{16q^2 e^{-2qa} k_{\sigma} k_{\sigma'}}{(q^2 + k_{\sigma}^2)(q^2 + k_{\sigma'}^2)}$$

 $\sigma,\,\sigma'$  refer to spin state in the left and right electrodes

Spin  $\uparrow$  and spin  $\downarrow$  channels conduct in parallel:

$$J_{Parallel} = J^{\uparrow\uparrow} + J^{\downarrow\downarrow}$$
 and  $J_{Antiparallel} = J^{\uparrow\downarrow} + J^{\downarrow\uparrow}$ 

$$J_{Parallel} - J_{antiparallel} = 16q^2 e^{-2qa} \left[ \frac{\left(k_{\uparrow} - k_{\downarrow}\right)\left(q^2 - k_{\uparrow}k_{\downarrow}\right)}{\left(q^2 + k_{\uparrow}^2\right)\left(q^2 + k_{\downarrow}^2\right)} \right]^2$$

Tunnel magnetoresistance: 
$$\frac{\Delta G}{G_{Parallel}} = \frac{J_{Parallel} - J_{antiparallel}}{J_{parallel}} = \frac{2P^2}{1 + P^2}$$

with 
$$P = \left(\frac{k_{F\uparrow} - k_{F\downarrow}}{k_{F\uparrow} + k_{F\downarrow}}\right) \left(\frac{q^2 - k_{F\uparrow}k_{F\downarrow}}{q^2 + k_{F\uparrow}k_{F\downarrow}}\right)$$



Slonczewski's model (1989) cont'd

$$P = \left(\frac{k_{F\uparrow} - k_{F\downarrow}}{k_{F\uparrow} + k_{F\downarrow}}\right) \left(\frac{q^2 - k_{F\uparrow}k_{F\downarrow}}{q^2 + k_{F\uparrow}k_{F\downarrow}}\right) \qquad q = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2m\Delta E}{\hbar^2}}$$

In Julliere's model, only the polarization within the magnetic electrodes influences the TMR. In slonczewski's model, the barrier height also plays a role.

Case of high barrier: 
$$q \gg k_{F\uparrow}, k_{F\downarrow} \longrightarrow P \approx \frac{k_{F\uparrow} - k_{F\downarrow}}{k_{F\uparrow} + k_{F\downarrow}}$$
  
Free electrons:  $DOS(E) = \frac{m}{\hbar^3 \pi^2} \sqrt{2mE} = \frac{mk}{\hbar^2 \pi^2} \propto k$   
 $\longrightarrow P \approx \frac{D_{\uparrow} - D_{\downarrow}}{D_{\uparrow} + D_{\downarrow}}$  Back to Julliere formula  $\frac{\Delta R}{R_{Antiparallel}} = \frac{\Delta G}{G_{Parallel}} = \frac{2P^2}{1 + P^2}$ 

Dintec

1

1

#### **Utility of Julliere/Slonczewski Models**

•AlOx tunnel barriers are amorphous. In amorphous materials, all electronic effects related to crystal symmetry are smeared out. Evanescent waves in alumina have "free like" character. Free electrons model work OK in this case.

•These models semi-quantitatively account for the relationship between bulk polarization of the electrodes and TMR in alumina based MTJ

•However, they fail with crystalline barriers. Additional band structures effect in the electrodes and barrier must be taken into account





#### Magnetic tunnel junctions based on MgO tunnel barriers

As-deposited, CoFeB amorphous, MgO polycristalline
Upon annealing, recrystallization of CoFeB from the MgO interfaces and improvement in MgO crystallinity with (100) bcc texture

Fig.4 J. Hayakawa et al. Jap. J. Appl. Physics 2005



Also, Yuasa et al. Applied Physics Letters, 2005

**B.Dieny** 

 $\Delta_2$ 

MgO barriers crystalline (bcc): •Evanescent waves have symmetries respecting the crystal symmetry. •The evanescent wave vectors strongly depends on the wave function symmetry

Ab initio calculation from Butler et al.:



k<sup>2</sup> at k<sub>ll</sub>=0 for MgO (100)



Decay rate of  $\Delta 1$  much smaller than decay rate of  $\Delta 5$  or  $\Delta 2$ '.

If the occupation of these various symmetries is spin-dependent, this provides a new mechanism for spinfiltering

#### Bloch State Symmetries in MgO

**B.Dieny** 

For bcc Fe, at  $E_F$  in (001), the  $\Delta_1$  symmetry Bloch state is only present for majority.



W.Butler, Alabama Univ

**B.Dieny** 

pintec

"Tunneling DOS" for k|| = 0 depends strongly on symmetry of Bloch states in Fe.



•Figures show the density of states (DOS) for electrons incident from the left in a particular Bloch state for each atomic layer.

•One particular majority band ( $\Delta_1$ ) readily enters the MgO and decays slowly inside the MgO.

W.Butler, Alabama Univ

Majority bcc FeCo has only <u>one</u> band at the Fermi energy, a  $\Delta 1$  band. There is no  $\Delta 5$  band at Fermi energy – consequence even larger TMR!



**Parallel Alignment of FeCo Moments** 

**Anti-Parallel Alignment of FeCo Moments** 

•Density of states on each atomic layer at k|| = 0 for FeCo/MgO/FeCo tunnel junction (boundary condition is – single Bloch state incident from left).

Zhang and Butler *PRB* **70**, 172407 (2004).

W.Butler, Alabama Univ

pintec

Co|MgO|Co and CoFe|MgO|CoFe are predicted to show extremely high TMR for well ordered interfaces.

Spin	up-up	down-	up-down	G <sub>p</sub> /G <sub>Ap</sub>
alignment		down	or	-P - AP
			down=up	
Fe MgO Fe	2.55 x10 <sup>9</sup>	7.08 ×10 <sup>7</sup>	2.41 ×10 <sup>7</sup>	54.3
Co MgO Co	8.62 ×10 <sup>8</sup>	7.51 ×10 <sup>7</sup>	3.60 ×10 <sup>6</sup>	147.2
FeCo MgO FeCo	1.19 x10 <sup>9</sup>	2.55 ×10 <sup>6</sup>	1.74 ×10 <sup>6</sup>	353.5

The conductances above were calculated by integrating over the entire Fermi surface. They assumed 8 layers of MgO.

W.Butler, Alabama Univ

Tunneling: a quantum effect

TMR amplitude not only due to spin-polarization in the ferromagnetic electrodes but also to characteristics of the barrier.

Influence of the barrier height

Spin-dependent hybridization effects may take place at Ferro/oxide interface

With crystalline barrier, spin-filtering effect according to symmetry of wave function. Very large TMR amplitude obtained with MgO barriers.



### Models in spintronics (Part II) OUTLINE :

#### Spin-dependent transport in magnetic tunnel junctions

- -Introduction to tunnel effect
- -magnetic tunnel junctions and tunnel MR
- -Julliere model
- -Slonczewski's model (free electron gas)

-Crystalline barrier: Spin-filtering according to symmetry of wave functions

#### Spin-transfer in non collinear magnetic configuration

-spin-torque term and effective field term

#### Spin-injection in semiconductors



#### Spin transfer effect in CPP geometry

Possibility to generate magnetic excitations or flip the magnetization in a magnetic thin film by a spin polarized current predicted by Slonczewski (JMMM.159, L1(1996)) and Berger (Phys.Rev.B54, 9359 (1996)).

First experimental observation of <u>magnetic excitations due to spin polarized current</u>: M.Tsoi et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.80, 4281 (1998) and of <u>current induced switching</u> : Katine et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.84, 3149 (2000) on Co/Cu/Co sandwiches (Jc ~2-4.10 A/cm<sup>2</sup>)



« Models in spintronics » 2009 European School on Magnetism, Timisoara

#### Physical origin of Spin transfer

#### GMR, TMR:

Acting on electrical current via the magnetization orientation

#### Spin transfer is the reciprocal effect:

Acting on the magnetization via the spin polarized current

#### Diffusive picture:



*M.D.Stiles et al, Phys.Rev.B.66, 014407 (2002)* 

#### **Conduction electron flow**

Reorientation of the direction of polarization of current via incoherent precession/relaxation of the electron spin around the local exchange field

#### Torque on the F magnetization

#### Physical origin of Spin transfer (cont'd)



Reorientation of the direction of polarization of the spin current as the spin polarized electrons penetrate in the magnetic layer :

#### **Torque on F magnetization**

spintec

Consider two populations of electrons:

-1)s conduction electrons (spin-polarized)

-2) d more localized electrons responsible for magnetization

The spin-polarized conduction electrons and localized d electrons interact by exchange interactions

Hamiltonien of propagating s electrons:

Pauli matrices vector  

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_y &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a \\ i & 0 \\ \sigma_z &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -z \end{pmatrix} \\
\sigma_z &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -z \end{pmatrix} \\
\sigma_z &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -z \end{pmatrix} \\
\text{Kinetic Potential Unit vector//M} \\
\text{Exchange sd}
\end{aligned}$$

 $\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

In non-colinear geometry, exchange of angular momentum takes place between the two populations of electrons but total angular moment is conserved.

Torque on 
$$\mathbf{S}_d$$
 due to s electrons =  $\frac{J_{sd}}{\hbar} \mathbf{S}_d \times \mathbf{s}(r, t)$ 

**s**=local spin-density of s electrons

**B**.Dieny

Physical origin of Spin transfer (sd model) (cont'd)

Electron wave-function 
$$\psi(r,t) \begin{cases} \psi^{\uparrow}(r,t) \\ \psi^{\downarrow}(r,t) \end{cases}$$
  
Local spin density at r and t :  $\mathbf{s}(r,t) = \psi^{*}(r,t)\frac{\hbar}{2}\vec{\sigma}\psi(r,t)$   
Temporal variation of local spin density:  $\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{s}(r,t) = \frac{\hbar}{2}\left[\frac{d}{dt}\psi^{*}\vec{\sigma}\psi + \psi^{*}\vec{\sigma}\frac{d}{dt}\psi\right]$  (1)  
Schrödinger equation :  $\frac{d}{dt}\psi(r,t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar}H\psi(r,t)$  (2)  
Substitution (2) in (1) :  $\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{s}(r,t) = \frac{1}{2i}\left[\psi^{*}\vec{\sigma}H\psi + (H\psi)^{*}\vec{\sigma}\psi\right]$   
... $\Rightarrow \qquad \frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{s}(r,t) = -\nabla\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{s}}(r,t) + \frac{J_{sd}}{\hbar}\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{d}} \times \mathbf{s}(r,t)$   
 $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{s}}$  Is the spin density current  
3x3 tensor  
Spin space x real space  $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{s}} = -\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m}\operatorname{Im}\left[\psi^{*}(r,t)\vec{\sigma}\otimes\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\psi(r,t)\right]$   
 $\sim Models in spintronics > 2009 European School on Magnetism, Timiscare$ 

#### Physical origin of Spin transfer (sd model) (cont'd)

In steady state (ballistic systems):

Spin - transfer torque = 
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{d}}}{\partial t}\Big|_{transfer} = \nabla \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{s}}(r,t) = \frac{J_{sd}}{\hbar} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{d}} \times \mathbf{s}(r,t)$$

The exchange interaction between spin-polarized s electrons and more localized d electrons is responsible for spin-torque. This interaction yields a precessional motion of spin-density of s electrons around the local magnetization. In ballistic regime, the spin-transfer torque is also equal to the divergence of spin-current

In diffusive systems: 
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{d}}}{\partial t}\Big|_{transfer} = \nabla \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{s}}(r,t) + \frac{\mathbf{s}}{\tau_{SF}} = \frac{J_{sd}}{\hbar} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{d}} \times \mathbf{s}(r,t)$$

Takes into account the spin-memory loss by scattering with spin lifetime  $au_{SF}$ 

LLG equation for magnetization dynamics with spin-transfer torque:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{d}}}{\partial t} = -\gamma \, \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{d}} \times \left( \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{eff}} + \frac{J_{sd}}{\hbar \mu_B} \mathbf{m} \right) + \alpha \, \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{d}} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{d}}}{\partial t}$$





Perpendicular torque or In-plane torque or interlayer exchange coupling (IEC) Slonczewski torque

m<sub>x</sub>, m<sub>v</sub> can be fully calculated by solving Schrodinger equation in non-colinear geometry

#### Physical origin of Spin transfer (sd model) (cont'd)



Two terms in spin-transfer: perpendicular + in-plane torques



Effective term seems weak in metallic pillars (~10% of spin-torque term) but more important in MTJ (~30 to 50% of ST term)

spintec

#### **Current induced switching: experiments**

Experiments conducted on nanopillars (d<150nm) to minimize Oersted field effect



**B**.Dieny

spintec

#### **Current induced switching:** Stability phase diagram



Steady states excitations when field and spin-transfer torque have opposite influence



B.Dieny

spintec

« Models in spintronics » 2009 European School on Magnetism, Timisoara

Charge current and spin current in complex geometry

#### In diffusive limit:

Charge current : 
$$\mathbf{J}_{e} = 2\sigma \nabla \varphi - \frac{2\beta\sigma}{\nu} (\mathbf{M}, \nabla \mathbf{m})$$
  
Spin current :  $\mathbf{J}_{m} = 2\sigma \beta (\mathbf{M} \nabla \varphi) - \frac{2\sigma}{\nu} \nabla \mathbf{m}$ 

$$div \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{e}} = 0$$
  
$$div \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{m}} + \frac{2\sigma}{v \, l_{J}^{2}} \left(1 - \beta^{2}\right) \left(\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{m}\right) + \frac{2\sigma}{v \, l_{sf}^{2}} \left(1 - \beta^{2}\right) \mathbf{m} = 0$$

 $\begin{cases} 4 \text{ Unknowns:} & \varphi & m_x & m_y & m_z \\ 4 \text{ Equations:} & 1 \text{ diffusion of } e + 3 \text{ diffusion of } m \\ \text{Spin-torque :} \end{cases}$ 

Diffusion of charge (with conservation of charge)

Diffusion of spin (without spin conservation due to spin-torque and spinrelaxation

ISF=spin-diffusion length IJ=spin-reorientation length

 $\mathbf{T} = \frac{2\sigma}{v l_1^2} (1 - \beta^2) (\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{m})$  Torque exerted by the local spin-accumulation on the local magnetization because of their exchange interaction

Contains both Slonczewski (in-plane) and field-like (perpendicular) torque components

S.Zhang, et al, PRL 88, 236601 (2002); M.D. Stiles, A. Zangwill, J. Appl. Phys. 91 (2002) 6812; M.D. Stiles and A. Zangwill, Phys. Rev. B 66, 014407, 2002; A. Shpiro, P.M. Levy, S. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 67 (2003) 104430

#### Finite element approach for solving transport equations

Approach built around a finite element solver.

Calculation of the solutions of charge and spin-diffusion equations in FEM approximation



2D or 3D possible but 3D requires large computer memory

#### 2D CPP structure with nanoconstriction



**B.Dieny** 



spintec

**B.Dieny** 

« Models in spintronics »

2009 European School on Magnetism, Timisoara



**B.Dieny** 

« Models in spintronics » 200

2009 European School on Magnetism, Timisoara

#### 2D CPP structure with nanoconstriction



**B.Dieny** 

#### Charge and y-spin currents in AP configuration



. . . . . . . . . . . . .

а

### Multiple nanoconstrictions (spin-torque amplitude)





Spin-torque exerted locally at the exit of pinholes. Aside from the pinhole, quiet magnetization which can quench the magnetic excitations generated by the spin-torque. There is an optimum pinhole density.

pintec



No particular features observed on angular variation of CPP-GMR associated with smooth/turbulent spin-current. Angular variation of CPP GMR through nanoconstriction well represented by Slonczewski's expression

#### Angular variation of spin-torque with nanoconstriction



Due to exchange interactions between spin-polarized conduction electrons and those responsible to local magnetization.

Two terms: in-plane torque + perpendicular torque

In-plane torque acts as damping or antidamping.

If antidamping action of spin-torque larger than Gilbert damping, spintorque pumps energy into the spin-polarized current and can induce magnetization switching or steady magnetic excitations.

Perpendicular torque acts as an effective field parallel to the spin polarization.

Perpendicular torque negligible in metallic magnetic multilayers but ~30% of in-plane torque in MTJ



### Models in spintronics (Part II) OUTLINE :

#### Spin-dependent transport in magnetic tunnel junctions

- -Introduction to tunnel effect
- -magnetic tunnel junctions and tunnel MR
- -Julliere model
- -Slonczewski's model (free electron gas)
- -Crystalline barrier: Spin-filtering according to symmetry of wave functions

#### Spin-transfer in non collinear magnetic configuration

-spin-torque term and effective field term

**B.Dieny** 

#### **Spin-injection in semiconductors**

#### Three terminal device : Spin rotation transistor



Spin polarized electrons are injected into the semiconductor channel
The spins are controlled by electric field (Rashba effect) or magnetic fields (id. MRAM) while they drift along the channel

Spin-dependent collection at drain

Transconductance expected to oscillate with gate voltage



Weakly efficient spin injection directly from metal to semiconductor

J.Strand et al, Phys.Rev.Lett., 91, 036602 (2003)

<u>Spin-LED</u>: recombination of spin-polarized electrons and holes in a AlGaAs/GaAs/ AlGaAs quantum well and emission of a circularly polarized photons. Measurement of the spin-polarization from the polarization of the emitted light.



injecting from metal directly into a semiconductor

 $= 0.5 P_{\rm spin}$ 

#### Efficient spin injection from magnetic semiconductor to non-mag. semiconductor



**B.Dieny** 

Dintec

## Efficient spin injection from a ferromagnetic metal into a semiconductor through a tunnel barrier

Safarov et al (Marseille, 2006), Alvaredo et al (IBM Zurich, 2006)



**B**.Dieny

spintec

#### Weak spin-injection efficiency: Impedance mismatch issue

C.Schmidt et al, PRB 62, R4790 (2000); Rashba, PRB62, 16267 (2000)

Case of direct injection from ferromagnetic metal into semiconductor:



Weak polarization because resistance of the stack fully dominated by spinindependent SC resistance. Even worse if spin-flip is taken into account. Weak spin-injection efficiency: Impedance mismatch issue (cont'd)

Case of injection from ferromagnetic metal into semiconductor through a tunnel barrier :



•Poor spin-injection from ferromagnetic metal directly into SC

•Efficient spin-injection from magnetic SC into non-magnetic SC

•Efficient spin-injection from magnetic metal into non-magnetic SC through a tunnel barrier



Spintec