Introduction to Numerical Micromagnetism.

Application to Mesoscopic Magnetic Systems

<u>Liliana Buda-Prejbeanu</u> CEA / DRFMC / SPINTEC Grenoble, France

Jean-Christophe Toussaint CNRS – Laboratoire Louis Néel Grenoble, France



Summer School

Magnetism of Nanostructured Systems and Hybrid Structures

Braşov 2003

Outline



Micromagnetics – theoretical background

- hypothesis & limits
- total free energy minimization (variational principle)
- static and dynamic equations



Micromagnetics – overview of the numerical implementation

- current state of the art
- finite difference approximation (fields & energies)
- errors & accuracy & validation



Application for mesoscopic ferromagnetic elements

- circular Co dots
- self-assembled epitaxial submicron Fe dots

References

Length Scale



Experimental Scale



Hypothesis

1963 - W. F. Brown Jr. 1907 P. Weiss / magnetic domains 1935 Landau-Lifshiz / domain walls

- Classical theory of continuous ferromagnetic material
 - smooth spatial variation of the magnetization vector
- Continuous functions (space & time)
 - magnetization $M(\vec{r},t)$
 - fields $\vec{H}(\vec{r},t)$ - energies $E[\vec{m}(\vec{r},t)]$



<u>Magnetization</u> \equiv <u>constant amplitude vector</u>

$$\vec{M}(\vec{r},t) = M_s \ \vec{m}(\vec{r},t)$$
$$\left|\vec{m}(\vec{r},t)\right| = 1$$

$$A_{ex}(T), M_s(T), K_u(T)$$

J. F. Brown, Jr. : Micromagnetics, J. Wiley and Sons, New York (1963)



Individual spins



Continuous material

Total Free Energy (Gibb's free energy)



Exchange interaction

- magnetic order $(T < T_c)$ (QM)
- parallels spins

 $\int A_{ex} \left(\vec{\nabla} \vec{m} \right)^2 dV$ next neighbors

Magneto-crystalline anisotropy

- the crystal symmetry axis
- easy direction

 $\int K_1 \Big[1 - \big(\vec{u}_K \cdot \vec{m} \big)^2 \Big] dV$ local interaction

 $-\mu_0 M_s \int \left[\vec{m} \cdot \vec{H}_{app} \right] dV$

local interaction

H_{app} → S Ν



- **Zeeman coupling**
- external applied field
- magnetization rotation



Magnetostatic interaction

- Maxwell's equations
- magnetic charges distribution
- magnetic domains formation

 $-\frac{1}{2}\mu_0 M_s \int \left[\vec{m} \cdot \vec{H}_{dem}(\vec{m})\right] dV$

long range interaction

Others contributions : surface coupling,

Micromagnetic equations $\vec{m}(\vec{r})$



magnetic stable state = minimum of the total free energy functional

$$\vec{m} \rightarrow \vec{m} + \delta \vec{m}$$

$$\vec{m}^2 = 1$$

$$\vec{m} \cdot \delta \vec{m} = 0$$

$$\delta E[\vec{m}] = 0 \qquad \Leftarrow \text{ variational principle}$$

$$\delta^2 E[\vec{m}] > 0$$

Micromagnetic equations – static equilibrium equations

$$\delta E = -\mu_0 M_s \int_{V} (\vec{m} \times \vec{H}_{eff}) \cdot \delta \vec{\theta} \, dV + 2A_{ex} \oint_{S} (\vec{m} \times \frac{\partial \vec{m}}{\partial n}) \cdot \delta \vec{\theta} \, dS$$

effective field

$$\delta E = -\mu_0 M_s \int_{V} \delta \vec{m} \cdot \vec{H}_{eff} \, dV$$

$$\vec{H}_{eff} = \frac{2A_{ex}}{\mu_0 M_s} \Delta \vec{m} + \frac{2K_1}{\mu_0 M_s} (\vec{u}_K \cdot \vec{m}) \vec{u}_K + \vec{H}_{app} + \vec{H}_p + C\vec{m}$$

Brown's equations

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vec{m} \times \vec{H}_{eff} \end{bmatrix} (\vec{r}) = \vec{0} \quad \forall \vec{r} \in V$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{m}}{\partial n} = 0, \ \vec{r} \in S$$

$$A_{ex,1} \frac{\partial \vec{m}_1}{\partial n} = A_{ex,2} \frac{\partial \vec{m}_2}{\partial n}, \ \vec{r} \in S$$

,"

 ∂n

ex, 2

A. Hubert, R. Schäfer: Magnetic Domains (p. 149) i J. Miltat in Applied Magnetism (p. 221)

Micromagnetic equations \vec{m} (\vec{r} , t)

space & time dependence $\rightarrow \vec{m} = \left\{ \vec{m}(\vec{r},t) \mid \vec{r} \in V, t \ge 0, |\vec{m}| = 1 \right\}$





Micromagnetic equations - dynamics



Magnetostatic Equations

Scalar potential formalism:
$$\vec{H}_{D} = -\vec{\nabla}\phi$$

$$\mathbf{3D} \begin{cases} \Delta\phi(\vec{r}) = -\rho_{m}(\vec{r}) \\ \phi_{int}(\vec{r}) = \phi_{ext}(\vec{r}) \\ \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\nabla} [\phi_{int} - \phi_{ext}](\vec{r}) = \sigma_{m}(\vec{r}) \\ \phi(\vec{r}) \rightarrow 0, \ |\vec{r}| \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{v} = -\nabla \mathbf{M}$$

Green's function formalism : $G(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi |\vec{r}|}$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi |\vec{r}|} \quad \& \quad \vec{\nabla} G(\vec{r}) = -\frac{\vec{r}}{4\pi |\vec{r}|^3}$$

$$\phi(\vec{r}) = \int_{V} \rho_m(\vec{r}') G(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') dV' + \oiint_{S} \sigma_m(\vec{r}') G(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') dS' \quad \vec{r} \in \Re^3$$

$$\vec{H}_{D}(\vec{r}) = -\int_{V} \vec{\nabla} G(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \rho_{m}(\vec{r}') dV' - \oiint_{S} \vec{\nabla} G(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \sigma_{m}(\vec{r}') dS'$$



General Algorithm



Solution

static & dynamic equations

✓ non-linear $\leftarrow |\vec{m}| = 1$ ✓ non-local $\leftarrow \vec{H}_{D}$ ✓ coupled partial differential $\leftarrow \partial, \partial^{2}$

Second order integro-differential equations

analytical treatment

- macrospin approximation
- Bloch domain wall in bulk
- by linearisation
 - near the saturation limit
 - nucleation and switching of domains
 - ferromagnetic resonance

numerical treatment

- powerful and efficient tools (if some rules are respected!)



Current State of the Art

Finite difference approximation (FDA)



-regular mesh -restrictive geometry W.F. Brown Jr (1965) Schabes et al., (1988) Berkov et al. Bertram et al. Donahue et al. Miltat et al. Nakatani et al. Toussaint et al. Scheinfein et al. J. -G. Zhu et al. Finite element method (FEM / BEM)



-irregular meshes -adaptive mesh refinement

Fredkin & Koehler Fidler & Schrefl Hertel & Kronmuller Ramstöck et al.

•••••

Finite Difference Approximation (FDA)







N-total number of mesh nodes



Finite Difference Aproximation (FDA)

$$E[\vec{m}] = \int_{V} \begin{cases} A_{ex} \left[\vec{\nabla} \vec{m}(\vec{r}) \right]^{2} + K_{1} \left[1 - \left(\vec{u}_{K} \cdot \vec{m}(\vec{r}) \right)^{2} \right] - \\ - \mu_{0} M_{s} \left[\vec{m}(\vec{r}) \cdot \vec{H}_{app}(\vec{r}) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \mu_{0} M_{s} \left[\vec{m}(\vec{r}) \cdot \vec{H}_{dem}(\vec{m}(\vec{r})) \right] \end{cases} dV$$

$$\vec{H}_{eff} = \frac{2A_{ex}}{\mu_{0}M_{s}} \Delta \vec{m} + \frac{2K_{1}}{\mu_{0}M_{s}} \left(\vec{u}_{K} \cdot \vec{m} \right) \vec{u}_{K} + \vec{H}_{H} + \begin{pmatrix} \vec{H}_{D} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{magnetic} \\ \text{charges} \\ \rho_{m} = -M_{s} \left(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{m} \right) \\ \sigma_{m} = M_{s} \left(\vec{m} \cdot \vec{n} \right) \\ \end{array}$$

Taylor expansion

1



$$m(i+1,j) = m(i,j) + \frac{\partial m}{\partial x}(i,j)h_x + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 m}{\partial x^2}(i,j)h_x^2 + O(h_x^3)$$
$$m(i-1,j) = m(i,j) - \frac{\partial m}{\partial x}(i,j)h_x + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 m}{\partial x^2}(i,j)h_x^2 + O(h_x^3)$$
$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial x}(i,j) \approx \frac{m(i+1,j) - m(i-1,j)}{2h_x}$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 m}{\partial x^2}(i,j) \approx \frac{m(i+1,j) - 2m(i,j) + m(i-1,j)}{h_x^2}$$

The accuracy is dependent on the Taylor expansion order ! M. Labrune, J. Miltat, JMMM **151**, 231 (1995).

General Algorithm

$$\left\{ \vec{m}(\vec{r},t_{0}) \right\}, K, A_{ex}, M_{s}, \vec{H}_{appl} \right\}$$

$$\rho = -\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{M}, \ \sigma = \vec{n} \cdot \vec{M}, \ \partial \vec{m} / \partial n = 0$$

$$\vec{H}_{eff} = \vec{H}_{app} + \vec{H}_{D} + \vec{H}_{ex} + \vec{H}_{k}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{H} + \mathcal{E}_{D} + \mathcal{E}_{ex} + \mathcal{E}_{k}$$

Defining the problem
 -geometry description
 -material parameters
 -initial conditions
 (time & space)

State characterization
 -magnetic charges
 -magnetostatic field
 -fields & energy terms

Exchange Magnetocrystalline anisotropy

Zeeman

Magnetostatic

$$egin{aligned} &E_{ex}ig[ec{m}ig],\ ec{H}_{ex}ig[ec{m}ig]\ &E_{\kappa}ig[ec{m}ig],\ ec{H}_{\kappa}ig[ec{m}ig]\ &E_{\mu}ig[ec{m}ig],\ ec{H}_{app}ig[ec{m}ig]\ &E_{p}ig[ec{m}ig],\ ec{H}_{p}ig[ec{m}ig]\ &ec{H}_{p}ig[ec{m}ig]\ &ec{m}ig] \end{aligned}$$

local terms direct evaluation

long range interaction

-the stray field energy calculation involves amounts up to a six-fold integration (90% of the computation time)

Stray field $(H_D) \rightarrow$ constant magnetization cells



$$\vec{m}_{ijk} = cst$$

Volume charges

Surface charges

 $\rho_m = -M_s \left(\nabla \cdot \vec{m} \right)$ $\sigma_m = M_s \left(\vec{m} \cdot \vec{n} \right)$



$$E_{D} = \frac{\mu_{0}}{8\pi} \oiint_{S} \oiint_{S} \frac{\sigma_{m}(\vec{r})\sigma_{m}(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} dSdS' = \frac{1}{2} \mu_{0} M_{s}^{2} \sum_{I=1}^{Ncells} \vec{m}_{I} \cdot \left\langle \vec{H}_{D} \right\rangle_{I} V_{cells}$$

-mean stray field upon the cell I

$$\left\langle \vec{H}_{D}(\vec{r}_{I})\right\rangle = -M_{s} \sum_{J=1}^{N} \begin{pmatrix} N_{xx} & N_{xy} & N_{xz} \\ N_{yx} & N_{yy} & N_{yz} \\ N_{zx} & N_{zy} & N_{zz} \end{pmatrix}_{(\vec{r}_{I} - \vec{r}_{J})} \begin{pmatrix} m_{x} \\ m_{y} \\ m_{z} \end{pmatrix}_{\vec{r}_{J}}$$

[N] = demagnetizing factor

Demagnetizing Factor (N)

$$\vec{H}_{D} = -\begin{bmatrix} \vec{N} \end{bmatrix} \vec{M} = - \begin{pmatrix} N_{xx} & N_{xy} & N_{xz} \\ N_{yx} & N_{yy} & N_{yz} \\ N_{zx} & N_{zy} & N_{zz} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M_{x} \\ M_{y} \\ M_{z} \end{pmatrix}$$

N is a tensor with the trace : N_x

 $\mathbf{N}_{xx} + \mathbf{N}_{yy} + \mathbf{N}_{zz} = 1$



! General: a uniformly magnetized ferromagnetic body gives rise to a non-uniform stray field.! Exception : the ellipsoid

Stray field $(H_D) \rightarrow$ volume + surface charges





Stray field evaluated in the center of each cell.

$$\vec{H}_{D}(\vec{r}_{I}) = -\sum_{J=1}^{Nvol} \int_{V_{J}} \vec{\nabla} G(\vec{r}_{I} - \vec{r}') \rho_{m}(\vec{r}') dV' - \sum_{J}^{Nsurf} \bigoplus_{S_{J}} \vec{\nabla} G(\vec{r}_{I} - \vec{r}') \sigma_{m}(\vec{r}') dS'$$



Constant and/or linear volume and/or surface charges



Evaluation of a sum with a huge number of terms : N X N terms ! Computation time?

Fourier Transform implementation (TF)

$$\vec{H}_{D}(\vec{r}) = -\int_{V} \vec{\nabla} G(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \rho_{m}(\vec{r}') dV' - \oiint_{S} \vec{\nabla} G(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \sigma_{m}(\vec{r}') dS'$$

$$\vec{H}_{D}(\vec{r}) = -\left[\vec{\nabla}G \otimes \rho_{m}\right](\vec{r}) - \left[\vec{\nabla}G \otimes \sigma_{m}\right](\vec{r})$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \hline \text{Theorem of the Convolution Product} \\ \hline f,g:[-\infty,+\infty] \rightarrow \Re \\ \hline & (f\otimes g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x-x')f(x')dx' \\ \hline & \Box & TF[f\otimes g] = TF[f]\cdot TF[g] \end{array}$$

1
$$\operatorname{TF}[\vec{\nabla}G]$$

2 $\rho_m(\vec{r}), \sigma_m(\vec{r})$
3 $\operatorname{TF}[\rho_m], \operatorname{TF}[\sigma_m]$
4 $\vec{H}_D = \operatorname{TF}^{-1}[TF[\vec{\nabla}G] \cdot TF[\rho_m] + TF[\vec{\nabla}G] \cdot TF[\sigma_m]]$

Discrete Fourier Transform & FFT

-Discrete functions (charges, interaction function,..)

- -Discrete Fourier Transform \rightarrow periodicity
- -Zero padding technique allows to deal with non-periodic systems



Stray field (H_D) – comparison

D. Berkov et al., IEEE Trans. Mag. 29(6) 2548 (1993)



Fig. 1. Energy discretization error for configurations as defined in the inset where the parameter F generates a completely stray-field-free configuration for F = 0 and configurations of strong field for F = 1. The bands indicate the range of results obtained for many computer experiments.

Soft magnetic material : constant volume charges is the appropriated approximation!

- package GL_FFT® by JC Toussaint (LLN)

General Algorithm

$$\left[\vec{m}(\vec{r},t_{0}) \right], \vec{K}, A_{ex}, M_{s}, \vec{H}_{appl} \right]$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} Defining the problem \\ -geometry description \\ -material parameters \\ -initial conditions \\ (time \& space) \end{array} \right]$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \vec{\mu}_{eff} = -\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{M}, \ \sigma = \vec{n} \cdot \vec{M}, \ \partial \vec{m} / \partial n = 0 \\ \vec{H}_{eff} = \vec{H}_{app} + \vec{H}_{D} + \vec{H}_{ex} + \vec{H}_{k} \\ \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{H} + \mathcal{E}_{D} + \mathcal{E}_{ex} + \mathcal{E}_{k} \end{array} \right]$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \text{State characterization} \\ -magnetic charges \\ -magnetostatic field \\ -fields \& energy terms \end{array} \right]$$

$$\left[(1 + \alpha^{2}) \frac{\partial \vec{m}}{\partial t} = -\gamma \left(\vec{m} \times \mu_{0} \vec{H}_{eff} \right) - \alpha \gamma \left[\vec{m} \times \left(\vec{m} \times \mu_{0} \vec{H}_{eff} \right) \right] \right]$$

$$LLG time integration \\ - amplitude conservation \end{array} \right]$$

LLG integration scheme & numerical stability

Explicit scheme

$$\frac{\partial \vec{m}}{\partial \tau} = -\vec{m}(\tau) \times \vec{H}(\tau) \qquad \tau = \frac{\mu_0 \gamma t}{1 + \alpha^2} \qquad \leftarrow \text{normalized time}$$

$$\vec{\tau} = \frac{\mu_0 \gamma t}{1 + \alpha^2} \qquad \leftarrow \text{normalized time}$$

$$\vec{\tau} = \frac{\mu_0 \gamma t}{1 + \alpha^2} \qquad \leftarrow \text{normalized time}$$

$$\vec{H}(\tau) = \vec{H}_{eff}(\tau) + \alpha \ \vec{m}(\tau) \times \vec{H}_{eff}(\tau)$$

$$\vec{m}(\tau + \delta\tau) = \vec{m}(\tau)\cos(H\delta\tau) + \frac{\sin(H\delta\tau)}{H} \left[\vec{H} \times \vec{m}(\tau)\right] + (1 - \cos(H\delta\tau))\frac{\vec{H} \cdot \vec{m}(\tau)}{H^2}\vec{H}$$

- Amplitude of the magnetization is implicitly conserved. $|\vec{m}| = 1$
 - The field $\vec{H}(t)$ varies slowly in time.
 - Fast integration method

von Neumann analysis \rightarrow critical time step for stability $\delta t < \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha}{\gamma \mu_0 M_s} \left(\frac{h}{l_{ex}}\right)^2$ $\alpha = 0.1, M_s = 8 \times 10^5 A/m, h/l_{ex} = 1/2 \implies \delta t_{iim} \cong 70 fs$

i Toussaint et al., Proceedings of the 9th International Symposium Magnetic Anisotropy and Coercivity in Rare-Earth Transition Metal Alloys 2, 59 (1996)

General Algorithm





Validation Tests



Mesh effects

Vortex state in a circular dot

 $M_s = 1400 \times 10^3 A / m$ $K_u = 500 \times 10^3 J / m^3$ $A_{ex} = 1.4 \times 10^{-11} J / m$ $\Delta_0 = 5.29 nm$ $l_{ex} = 3.37 nm$



Validation tests

Standard problems (NIST)

Standard problem 1,2,3: static calculus

Standard problem 4 : dynamic calculus

i http://www.ctcms.nist.gov/~rdm/mumag.org.html

Simulation & experience

MFM, Lorentz microscopy,.... Magnetization curves...



Check points

Check different equilibrium criteria...

Check different discretisations (doest it converge smoothly to limit value... Check different field steps... Break the symmetry...

Free Open Source & Commercial software

OOMMF (Object Oriented MicroMagnetic Framework), **M.Donahue & D. Porter** http://math.nist.gov/oommf/

SimulMag (PC Micromagnetic Simulator), developed by **J. Oti** http://math.nist.gov/oommf/contrib/simulmag/

GDM2 (General Dynamic Micromagnetics), developed by **B. Yang** http://physics.ucsd.edu/~drf/pub/

LLG Micromagnetics Simulator, developed by M. R. Scheinfein http://llgmicro.home.mindspring.com/

MagFEM3D, developed by K. Ramstöck http://www.ramstock.de/

MicroMagus, developed by **D. V. Berkov, N. L. Gorn** http://www.micromagus.de/

Magsimus, Euxine Technologies http://www.euxine.com/



Circular Co Disks





T. Shinjo et al. Science 289, 930 (2000)

1 um

Magnetic Stable States



 $h_x = h_v = h_z = 2.5 \, nm$

 \odot φ =500 nm φ =200 nm φ =200 nm e = 15 nm e = 30 nm e = 15 nm AA φ =200 nm e = 15 nm SA φ =1000 nm e = 30 nm

Simulation

Demand et al., JAP (2000), Prejbeanu Ph.D. thesis, Natali et al. PRL(2002)

MFM

AA

SA

Sizes & energies



Lift scan height 50nm

 $\phi = 200 \text{ nm}$ h = 15 nm

Internal vortex structure





Thickness effects (h)





Ground state phase diagram



Comparison disks & rings







= 600 nm φe h_{tip}= 40 nm = 200 nm ¢; = 20 nm е





Self-assembled Epitaxial Submicron Fe Dots

P. O. Jubert, J.C. Toussaint O. Fruchart, C. Meyer *Laboratoire Louis Néel, Grenoble*

Y Samson *CEA / DRFMC / SP2M / NM, Grenoble*





L~550nm, w~350nm, h~65nm



Remanent Configurations (H_{app}=0)

Ideal shape : hexaplot



Fe bulk parameters $M_s = 1750 \times 10^3 A/m$ $A_{ex} = 2. \times 10^{-11} J/m$ $K_1 = 4.81 \times 10^4 J/m^3$ $\pi \Delta_0 \cong 7nm$ $\pi l_{ex} \cong 10nm$ Mesh: $4.68 \times 4.68 \times 3.75 nm^3$



i P.O. Jubert et al. EPL 63, 138 (2003)

Remanent Configurations

MFM tip ~ monopole \longrightarrow MFM response ~ $\partial_z H_z$



Lift scan height 30nm

 M_{p}

In plane hysteresis curve



In plane hysteresis curve & distortion



Simulation & Experience





- Simulation \rightarrow remanent state is controlled by small external perturbation.
 - MFM resolution is too low to distinguish between the two Landau states.



Thermal fluctuation effect.

Basic book on micromagnetics



W. F. Brown, Jr. : *Micromagnetics* Intersience Publishers, J. Wiley and Sons, New York (1963)

J. Miltat : Domains and domains walls in soft magnetic materials mostly in Applied Magnetism, R. Gerber et al Eds. Kluwer (1994)



A. Aharoni: *Introduction to the theory of ferromagnetism* Clarendon Press, Oxford (1996)





Articles on numerical aspects of micromagetics



- W.F. Brown Jr., A.E. LaBonte: J. Appl. Phys. 36, 1380 (1965) **A.E. LaBonte:** J. Appl. Phys. **38**, 3196 (1967)
- J. Fidler, T. Schrefl : Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics, 33 R135-R156 (2000)
- D. Fredkin, T.R. Koehler: IEEE Trans. Mag. 26, 415 (1990)
- **R. Cowburn:** J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **33**, R1 (2000)

Mulțumesc!

Merci!

Thank you!

Vortex configuration





In-plane single domain state





