Basic concepts on magnetization reversal (1)
Static properties: coherent reversal and beyond

Stanislas ROHART
Laboratoire de Physique des Solides
Université Paris Sud and CNRS
Orsay, France
Introduction: Hysteresis loop

Manipulation of a magnetization:
Application of a magnetic field

Zeeman energy: \[ E_z = -\mu_0 H M_s \]

- Spontaneous Magnetization \( M_s \)
- Remanent Magnetization \( M_R \)
- Coercive field \( H_C \)
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Introduction: Soft and Hard materials

**Hard Materials**

Applications: Permanent magnets, motors, magnetic recording
Ex: Cobalt, NdFeB, CoSm, Garnets

**Soft Materials**

Applications: Transformer, flux guide (for electromagnets...), magnetic shielding
Ex: Iron, FeCo, Permalloy (Fe$_{20}$Ni$_{80}$)
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Introduction: Energies in magnetic systems

Exchange energy

\[ E_{ex} = -JS_i S_j \]
\[ = A(\nabla \theta)^2 \]

Magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy

\[ E_{MC} = K(\vec{m}.\vec{c})^2 \]
(simplest form, may be more complicated)
reflects the crystal symmetry

Zeeman energy

\[ E_Z = -\mu_0 \vec{M} \cdot \vec{H} \]

Dipolar energy

\[ E_D = -\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \left( \frac{3(\vec{m}_i \cdot \vec{r}_{ij})\vec{r}_{ij}}{r^5_{ij}} - \frac{\vec{m}_i}{r^3_{ij}} \right) \vec{m}_j \]

For practical use:
shape anisotropy

\[ E_D = -\frac{1}{2} \mu_0 \vec{M} \cdot \vec{H}_d \]
\[ = -\frac{1}{2} \mu_0 \vec{M} \cdot [N] \vec{M} \]
**Introduction: Micromagnetism: Typical Length Scales**

**Bloch wall**  
- Anisotropy vs. Exchange

\[ E = A \left( \frac{d\theta}{dx} \right)^2 + K \sin^2 \theta \]

- Bloch wall parameter \( \delta_B = \sqrt{\frac{A}{K}} \)
- Bloch wall width \( d_B = \pi \sqrt{\frac{A}{K}} \)
- Bloch wall energy \( \sigma_B = 4\sqrt{AK} \)

Typical value: 2-3 nm (hard)  
-> 100-1000 nm (soft)

**Exchange length**  
- Dipolar coupling vs. Exchange

\[ \Lambda = \sqrt{\frac{2A}{\mu_0 M_S^2}} \approx 2.6\Lambda \]

Typical value: 5-10 nm

**Ex: Magnetic vortex**

**Quality factor**

\[ Q = \frac{2K}{\mu_0 M_S^2} = \left( \frac{\Lambda}{\delta} \right)^2 \]

- \( Q > 1 \) hard
- \( Q \ll 1 \) soft
Introduction: Magnetic Domains

Bulk materials
Complex magnetic patterns
Self organization of domains

Mesoscopic scale
Small number of possible configuration.
Well defined states

Nanometric scale
Magnetic single domain but non collinearities are still possible
True collinear state at very reduced dimensions
(< few Λ)

• Except at very small scales, dipolar energy plays an essential role [competition between dipolar energy (long range) and domain wall energy (local)].
• Single domain state is observed well below 1 µm or for hard material.

Cowburn et al. PRL 81, 5414 (1998)
I. Coherent reversal
II. Magnetization reversal in nanostructures
III. Domain nucleation and domain wall propagation
IV. Conclusion
**Coherent reversal: Macrospin hypothesis**

Hypothesis: $m(r)=\text{cte}=M$ (strong approximation)

- Exchange energy is constant
- Dipolar energy equivalent to anisotropy energy

$$E = G(M) - \mu_0 M \cdot H$$

Simplest model: Stoner and Wohlfarth

$$E = K_{\text{eff}} \sin^2 \theta - \mu_0 M_S H \cos(\theta + \theta_H)$$

$$K_{\text{eff}} = K_{mc} + k_d$$

Anisotropy field: $H_K = 2K_{\text{eff}} / \mu_0 M_S$

Dimensionless equation: $e = \sin^2 \theta - 2h \cos(\theta + \theta_H)$

Different names: Uniform rotation, coherent rotation, macrospin, Stoner and Wohlfarth model...
Coherent reversal: Equilibrium states and switching

\[ \theta_H = 0 \] (Field aligned with the anisotropy axis)

\[ e = \sin^2 \theta - 2h \cos \theta \]

\[ \frac{\partial e}{\partial \theta} = 2 \sin \theta(\cos \theta + h) \quad \frac{\partial e}{\partial \theta} = 0 \Rightarrow \cos \theta = -h \quad \theta = \theta_m \]

\[ \sin \theta = 0 \quad \theta = 0 \text{ or } \pi \]

Stability

\[ \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial \theta^2} = -2 \sin^2 \theta + 2 \cos^2 \theta + 2h \cos \theta \]

\[ = 4 \cos^2 \theta - 2 + 2h \cos \theta \]

\[ \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial \theta^2} (0) = 2(1 + h) > 0 \]

\[ \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial \theta^2} (\theta_m) = 2(h^2 - 1) > 0 \]

\[ \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial \theta^2} (\pi) = 2(1 - h) > 0 \]

Square hysteresis loop

\[ H_{\text{switch}} = H_K \]
Coherent reversal: Equilibrium states

\[ e = \sin^2 \theta - 2h \cos \theta \]

- Square hysteresis loop
  - \( H_{\text{switch}} = H_K \)

Energy barrier

\[ \Delta e = e(\varphi_m) - e(0) \]
\[ = (1 - h^2 + 2h^2) - (-2h) \]
\[ = (1 + h)^2 \]

For arbitrary angle:
- no analytical solution
- \( H_K/2 < H_{\text{switch}} < H_K \)
- \( \Delta e = (1-h)^\alpha \) with \( \alpha = 1.5 \)

Important for thermally activated switching
Coherent reversal: Hysteresis Loops

\[ e = \sin^2 \theta - 2h \cos(\theta + \theta_H) \]

\[ \theta = 0 \]

\[ \theta_H = \pi/3 \]

Switching field (or reversal field)
-> abrupt jump of magnetization angle

Coercive field: \( \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{H} = 0 \)
-> may not be equal to the switching field
Coherent reversal: Switching field plot: astroids

Astroid curve: Polar plot of $H_{\text{switch}}$

$$H_{\text{switch}} = \frac{H_K}{\left(\sin^{2/3} \theta_H + \cos^{2/3} \theta_H\right)^{3/2}}$$

$$H_C = \begin{cases} 
H_{\text{switch}} \quad \text{if } \theta_H \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}\right] @ [\pi] \\
\frac{1}{2} |\sin 2\theta_H| \quad \text{if } \theta_H \in \left[\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}\right] @ [\pi] 
\end{cases}$$

J.C. Slonczewski (1956)
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Coherent reversal: Switching field plot: astroids

\[ e = G(\vec{m}) - 2\vec{h}.\vec{m} \]
\[ \vec{m} = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \]

**Equilibrium condition**
\[ \frac{de}{d\theta} = G'(\vec{m}) - 2\vec{h}.\vec{e} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \vec{e} = (-\sin \theta, \cos \theta) \]

-> For given \( m \): Straight line in the field space, tangent to the critical astroid curve, directed along \( m \)

**Stability condition**
\[ \frac{d^2e}{d\theta^2} = G''(\vec{m}) + 2\vec{h}.\vec{m} > 0 \]

-> For given \( m \): Only one part of the line is stable

J.C. Slonczewski Research Memo RM 003.111.224, IBM Research Center (1956)
A. Thiaville JMMM 182, 5, (1998)
Coherent reversal: Switching field plot: astroids

-> To go further:
  • Complex type of anisotropy

\[ G = \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta \]
(Cubic anisotropy)

\[ G = \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta + \sin^2(\theta + \pi / 6) \]
(Cubic anisotropy + uniaxial)

Thiaville PRB 61, 12221 (2000)

Thiaville JMMM 182, 5, (1998)
Coherent reversal: Experimental relevance

First observation (2D): Co (D = 25 nm) cluster
Wernsdorder et al. PRL 78, 1791 (1997)

In 3D: (same Co cluster) E. Bonnet et al. PRL 83, 4188 (1999)

3 nm Co cluster: M. Jamet et al. PRL 86, 4676 (2001)
Coherent reversal: Experimental relevance

In technological application: memory cells

Measurements of the astroids of elliptical M-RAM cells

(Dimensions in μm)

Conclusion:
Good agreement with coherent rotation only for smallest elements.

⇒ Apply coherent reversal with great care!
⇒ In most systems $H_{\text{switch}} \ll H_K$
«Brown’s paradox»
Magnetization reversal in nanoparticles

• Is the coherent reversal model applicable to nanoparticles?
• Is a uniform magnetized ground state sufficient for coherent magnetization reversal?
• Can we go further than coherent magnetization reversal?
**Nanoparticles: Phase diagram of nanostructures**

1. Single domain ground-state criterion

**Single domain state**
- Exchange energy is minimum
- Anisotropy energy is minimum (magnetization along easy axis)
- Dipolar energy:

\[ E_{\text{SD}}^{d} = \frac{NV}{2} \mu_0 M_S^2 = \frac{1}{6} \mu_0 M_S^2 \times \frac{4}{3} \pi R^3 \]

**Non uniform state: 1 domain wall**
- Bloch domain wall at the centre \( \rightarrow \) exchange and anisotropy cost:

\[ E_{\text{DW}} = \pi R^2 \sigma_{BW} = 4\pi R^2 \sqrt{AK} \]
- Dipolar energy gain: magnetic flux is closed in two domains:

\[ E_{d}^{DW} = E_{d}^{SD} / 2 \]

**Domain wall width is neglected**
\( \Rightarrow \) calculation adapted for high anisotropy materials

\[ R_{SD} \leftrightarrow E_{d}^{SD} = E_{d}^{DW} + E_{DW} \]

\[ R_{SD} = \frac{36\sqrt{AK}}{\mu_0 M_S^2} \]
Nanoparticles: Phase diagram of nanostructures

2. Coherent/Incoherent rotation criterion

- Schematic calculation: coherent rotation vs. 2 domain rotation

Hypothesis: 2 localized moments

\[ m_{a/b} = M_S V/2 \quad \text{at} \quad x_{a/b} = \pm R/2 \]

\[
\frac{E}{V} = \left( \frac{A}{R^2} - \frac{1}{24} \mu_0 M_S^2 \right) (\theta_a - \theta_b)^2 + \frac{1}{4} (2K - \mu_0 M_S H) (\theta_a^2 + \theta_b^2)
\]

Stability analysis: diagonalize the 2x2 matrix and find \( H \) that changes the sign of one eigenvalue

The two eigenmodes are:

\[
\theta_a = \theta_b \Rightarrow H_{coh} = H_K \quad (\text{coherent rotation})
\]

\[
\theta_a = -\theta_b \Rightarrow H_{incoh} = H_K - \frac{M_S^2}{3} + \frac{8A}{\mu_0 M_S R^2}
\]

\[ H_{incoh} < H_{incol} \quad \text{if} \quad R > \sqrt{\frac{24A}{\mu_0 M_S^2}} \]

Remarks:

\[ R_{coh} < R_{SD} \quad \text{for real materials} \]

\[ \text{anisotropy} \quad \text{does not enter in the criterion} \]
Nanoparticles: Phase diagram of nanostructures

2. Coherent rotation criterion

\[ \frac{E}{V} = \left( \frac{A}{R^2} - \frac{1}{24} \mu_0 M_S^2 \right) (\theta_a - \theta_b)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left( 2K - \mu_0 M_S H \right) (\theta_a^2 + \theta_b^2) \]

Exchange: the two moments are distant of \( R \) and the angle variation is \( \Delta = \theta_a - \theta_b \). The exchange energy density is \( A(dm/dx)^2 \sim A(\theta_a - \theta_b)^2/R^2 \)

Dipolar energy: if \( \theta_a = \theta_b \), the dipolar energy is \( \mu_0 M_S^2/6 \). If the two angles are different, the total magnetization is lower so that \( E_d \) decreases by

\[-[\mu_0 M_S^2/6] \cos(\theta_a - \theta_b)/2 \sim -[\mu_0 M_S^2/24](\theta_a - \theta_b)^2\]

Anisotropy energy: each hemisphere of volume \( V/2 \) has the anisotropy energy

\( K[\sin^2 \theta] \ast V/2 \sim 2K\theta^2 V/4 \)

Zeeman energy: each hemisphere of volume \( V/2 \) has the Zeeman energy

\[-\mu_0 M_S H[\cos \theta] \ast V/2 \sim -\mu_0 M_S H\theta^2 \ast V/4 \]
**Nanoparticles: Phase diagram of nanostructures**

2. Coherent/Incoherent rotation criterion

Real reversal mechanisms:

For curling:

$$H_{sw} = H_{K} - \frac{M_{S}}{3} + \frac{8.67 A}{\mu_{0}M_{S}R^{2}}$$

$$R_{crit} = \sqrt{\frac{26A}{\mu_{0}M_{S}^{2}}}$$


Skomski and Coey *Permanent Magnetism* (1999)
Nanoparticles: Phase diagram of nanostructures

Phase diagram for a flat disk with surface anisotropy

Skomski et al. PRB 58, 3223 (1998)
Nanoparticles: Influence of nanostructure inhomogeneity

Dipolar field effect

-> Soft elements: flux closure domains facilitate magnetic reversal

\[ H_K = 5000 \text{Oe} \]

\[ H_C = 3M_S \frac{t}{W} + \text{cte} \]

Shape anisotropy \( \sim M_S \frac{L}{W} \)

but switching field independent of \( L \)

Uhlig and Shi APL 84, 759 (2004)
Nanoparticles: Influence of nanostructure inhomogeneity

Dipolar field effect

-> Soft elements


Similar
Nanoparticles: Influence of nanostructure inhomogeneity

Edge and surface anisotropy in very small elements

**Origin:** enhanced magnetic anisotropy at low coordinated atoms

Co islands on Pt(111)

Nearly spherical Co cluster


Jamet et al. PRL 86, 4676 (2001)

On spherical clusters, atom anisotropy axis are perpendicular to the local surface

-> Hedgehog like orientation of anisotropy axis

Kachkachi and Dimian PRB 66,174419 (2002)

Nanoparticles: Influence of nanostructure inhomogeneity

Edge and surface anisotropy in very small elements

Consequence of surface anisotropy axis distribution

Non colinear magnetic configuration
Atomic simulation: $L/J=2$
(unphysically strong surface anisotropy!)

Kachkachi and Dimian PRB 66,174419 (2002)

$J >> K_{\text{surface}} = K_{\text{volume}}$

1 particle $\Leftrightarrow$ 1 macrospin
$J \sim K_{\text{surface}} >> K_{\text{volume}}$

1 particle $\Leftrightarrow$ assembly of many coupled spins
Nanoparticles: Influence of nanostructure inhomogeneity

Edge and surface anisotropy in very small elements

Effective anisotropy model

Example: flat disk with edge anisotropy

\[
\frac{\mathbb{E}[	heta(r)]}{2\pi t} = \int_0^R \left\{ A \left( \frac{d\theta}{dr} \right)^2 + K_d \cos^2 \theta \right\} r dr + RK_S \sin^2 \theta
\]

Exchange
Shape anisotropy (in plane)
Edge anisotropy (out of plane)

Twisted inhomogeneous configuration

Typical astroid with a 4\textsuperscript{th} order anisotropy

\[
E_a^{\text{eff}}(\theta) = \langle K \rangle \sin^2 \theta + K_4 \sin^4 \theta
\]

Rohart et al. PRB 76, 104401 (2007)
Nanoparticles: Vortices

⇒ Strongly inhomogeneous magnetic state

- vortex circulation (clock wise vs. Counter clock wise) → difficult to manipulate with magnetic field
- Vortex core (up vs. Down) → easily coupled to magnetic field

Cowburn et al. PRL 83, 1042 (1999)
Nanoparticles: Vortices

Hysteresis loop with IN PLANE magnetic field

The energy cost to expel the vortex core out of the disk creates an hysteresis

Cowburn et al. PRL 83, 1042 (1999)
Guslienko and Metlov PRB 63, 100403R (2001)
Nanoparticles: Vortices

Vortex core magnetization reversal

Magnetic field is coupled to the vortex core only but coherent reversal of vortex core magnetization is topologically impossible


Normal vortex state: magnetization is perpendicular to minimize exchange energy

$E_{ex}(r) = 2A/r$

$E_{BP} = \iiint E_{ex}(r)r^2 \sin \theta dr d\eta d\phi = 8\pi AR$

Vortex with Bloch point: magnetic moment are all almost in plane, mean magnetization is zero at the core

Beyond micromagnetism

Thiaville et al. PRB 67, 094410 (2003)

Images from R. Dittrich http://magnet.atp.tuwien.ac.at/gallery/bloch_point/index.html
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Nanoparticles: Vortices

Vortex core magnetization reversal

Fig. 5. Switching probability of a turned-up magnetization in circular dots with the diameter of 0.2, 0.4 and 1μm as a function of magnetic field normal to the sample plane. The average switching field is 4100, 3900 and 3650 Oe in the sample of 0.2, 0.4 and 1μm in diameter, respectively.


Thiaville et al. PRB 67, 094410 (2003)

Images from R. Dittrich http://magnet.atp.tuwien.ac.at/gallery/bloch_point/index.html
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Is the macrospin model relevant for thin films?

- Lateral dimension $\gg$ Micromagnetic lengths
- Experimental observation: $H_c \ll H_k$ in most systems

$\Rightarrow$ Coherent reversal is unrealistic: need for micromagnetic modelling.
$\Rightarrow$ Defect (even at very low density) may drive the switching field if domain wall propagation is involved.
**Thin Films: Hard axis hysteresis loops**

In some cases, coherent reversal can be applied to hard axis hysteresis loops

- **In hard axis loop, domain nucleation is prevented** (the two orientation energies are the same) and coherent rotation is possible.

=> **Application: determination of magnetic anisotropy and** $M_S$

**Example 1:** Soft magnetic film
Permalloy thin film
- no magnetocrystalline anisotropy
- in plane magnetization due to the shape anisotropy $E_d = \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 M_S^2$

=> Loop with perpendicular field yields a saturation field of $M_S$

**Example 2:** « Anisometry »
Ga(Mn)As thin film
(with perpendicular magnetization)
- Polar Kerr effect measurement with quasi in plane field ($\alpha$)

$$H = \frac{\sin 2\theta K_{eff}}{M_S \cos(\theta + \alpha)} \ ; \ M = M_S \cos \theta$$

$K_{eff} = 272$ mT
J.P. Adam PhD. Thesis 2008

Principle/Application:
Grolier et al. J. Appl. Phys. 73, 5939 (1993)
Reduction in Coercive Force Caused by a Certain Type of Imperfection

A. Aharoni

Department of Electronics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel
(Received February 1, 1960)

As a first approach to the study of the dependence of the coercive force on imperfections in materials which have high magnetocrystalline anisotropy, the following one-dimensional model is treated. A material which is infinite in all directions has an infinite slab of finite width in which the anisotropy is 0. The coercive force is calculated as a function of the slab width. It is found that for relatively small widths there is a considerable reduction in the coercive force with respect to perfect material, but reduction saturates rapidly so that it is never by more than a factor of 4.

Fig. 1. The nucleation field (dashed) and coercive force (full curve) in terms of the coercive force of perfect material, $H_{c}/2K$, as functions of the defect size, $d$. 
First magnetization curve indicates the type of coercivity.

Nucleation limited reversal:
- Need few nucleation event followed by domain wall propagation
- Provides generally square loops

Magnetization reversal is controlled by the micro/nanostructure:
- Soft inclusion, misoriented grains... create nucleation centers
- Hard inclusion, crystalline defect... create pinning centers

Propagation limited reversal:
- Need many nucleation events
- Provides generally rounded loops

Examples:
- Recording media

Ex: Recording media
Nucleation of a reversed domain on defect -> Nucleation volume

• \( v \approx \delta^3 \) for bulk hard magnets Givord et al. JMMM 258, 1 (2003)
• Droplet theory for thin films Barbara JMMM 129, 79 (1994)

Nucleation cost (domain wall, anisotropy energy) \( E_0 \) is compensated by Zeeman energy gain (plus thermal energy)

If \( H_c << H_K \) : \( M \) is aligned with easy axis

\[
\Delta E_z = -2\mu_0 M_S vH \cos \theta_H
\]

Magnetization reversal if \( \Delta E_z = E_0 - 25kT \)

\[
H_c(\theta_H) = \frac{H_{c,\theta_H=0}}{\cos \theta_H}
\]

Kondorsky, J. Exp. Theor. Fiz. 10, 420 (1940)
See also: Givord JMMM 72, 247 (1988)

Switching field of a Co(1 nm) film grown on Au(233)
-> In plane magnetization with well defined in plane anisotropy (step edges)

**Thin Films: Nucleation vs. DW propagation**

**Importance of dynamics**

Key ingredient:
- Nucleation rate
- Domain wall propagation dynamics

Coercive field may not be an intrinsic property (i.e., only linked to micromagnetic parameters)
- depend on temperature
- depend on sweeping rate

See second part of the lecture on temperature activation and slow dynamics

---

Pt/Co/Pt (From J. Vogel)
Conclusion

Take home messages

• Coherent (uniform) magnetization reversal only takes place in very small particles
• Micromagnetism is powerful to determine the switching mode in nanoparticles
• In thin films: Importance of micro/nano structure of magnetic films to determine the reversal mechanism
• Coercive field may be ambiguous (different from switching field, not intrinsic...)

To go further

• Temperature influence and slow dynamics
• Precessionnal magnetization reversal (ns time scale, need lower magnetic fields)
• Ultra fast magnetization reversal (beyond micromagnetism hypothesis $M=\text{cte}$)
• New driving forces for magnetization reversal (spin polarized currents, electrical field...)

Some readings

• Skomski and Coey Permanent magnetism (Taylor & Francis Group 1999)
• Hubert and Schäfer Magnetic domains (Springer 1999)
• Aharoni Introduction to the theory of ferromagnetism (Oxford 1996)
• Fiorani Surface effects in Magnetic Nanoparticles (Springer 2005)
• Fruchart and Thiaville Magnetism in reduced dimensions CR Physique 6 921 (2005)